arXiv:1206.5334v3 [math.AG] 21 Dec 2012

PROOFS OF THE INTEGRAL IDENTITY CONJECTURE OVER ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS

LÊ QUY THUONG

Abstract. The integral identity conjecture has been known as one of the building blocks of the motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants theory for commutative Calabi-Yau threefolds. This theory was recently introduced by Kontsevich and Soibelman in their article entitled *Stability structures, motivic Donalson-Thomas invariants and cluster tranformations*, arXiv: 0811.2435vl. We consider both versions of the conjecture, one for the motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function (say, the regular version), the other for the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function (the formal version); and obtain positive answers over the ground field algebraically closed. Technically, some results from Hrushovski-Kazhdan's theory of motivic integration that is just developed by Hrushovski-Loeser, and some of Nicaise's computations on motivic integral on special formal schemes as well, will be used in the present article.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function 5
3. Logical setting and integration 7
4. From definable VF-sets to algebraic κ-varieties 10
5. Proof of the regular version (Theorem 1.2) 13
6. Special formal schemes and associated rigid varieties 16
7. Motivic integration on formal R-schemes 20
8. The case of an algebraically closed field 27
9. Proof of the formal version (Theorem 1.3) 31
Acknowledgement 34
References 34

1. Introduction

1.1. Starting from the year 1995 with a talk by Kontsevich at the Orsay seminar, and the strong developments by Batyrev [1, 2], Denef-Loeser [9, 10], Looijenga [27], Sebag [33], Loeser-Sebag [26], Nicaise-Sebag [29, 30], Nicaise [32], Hrushovski-Kazhdan [16, 17], the theory of motivic integration has risen to be a power tool in the study the algebro-geometric objects over a field of characteristic zero, κ.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03C60, 14B05, 14G22, 14J17, 32S25, 32S45, 32S55; Secondary 11S80.

Key words and phrases. arc spaces, definable sets, definable functions, formal schemes, Greeberg functor, motivic integration, motivic Milnor fiber, motivic zeta function, weak Néron model, Néron smoothening, resolution of singularities, rigid varieties, volume Poincaé series.

The original idea of the construction is to use on such a variety $\mathcal{X}$ the space of arcs $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. The motivic integral measure μ on the arcs space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ takes values in the completion of the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}_\kappa := K(\mathrm{Var}_\kappa)[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]$ of algebraic κ-varieties. Thereafter, in order to treat the case of a formal scheme topologically of finite type $\mathfrak{X}$, Loeser-Sebag [26] used the Greenberg functor and the Greeberg space $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ introduced by Sebag [33], which plays the role of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ in an analogous setting.

If $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a resolution of singularities, the induced morphism $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ is a bijection outside subsets of infinite codimension. Under such a resolution of singularities, a fundamental change of variable formula gives rise to an expression of motivic integral on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ via that on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$. Using this property, Kontsevich showed at the Orsay seminar 1995 that two birationally equivalent Calabi-Yau manifolds have the same Hodge numbers.

Motivic zeta function and motivic Milnor fiber are defined by Denef-Loeser [8, 11] in the way using motivic integration. Let f be a regular function on an algebraic κ-variety $\mathcal{X}$ of pure dimension d, which has $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}_0(f)$ as the zero locus. The motivic zeta function $Z_f(T)$ is defined as a formal power series

$$Z_f(T) = \sum_{m \geq 1} [\mathcal{X}_m(f)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{-md} T^m$$

in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}[[T]]$. Here $\mathcal{X}_m(f) := \{\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X}) : f(\varphi(t)) = t^m \mod t^{m+1}\}$ admitting a natural action of $\hat{\mu}$ via μ_m, and the obvious morphism $\mathcal{X}_m(f) \to \mathcal{X}_0$ defines an element in the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ (see Section 2). Using a resolution of singularities of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0)$, Denef-Loeser [8, 11] prove that $Z_f(T)$ is a rational series. Then the opposite of the limit of $Z_f(T)$ when T goes to infinity,

$$\mathcal{S}_f = - \lim_{T \to \infty} Z_f(T) \ \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0},$$

is called the *motivic Milnor fiber* of f. The *local* one at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0$, the pullback of $\mathcal{S}_f$ to $\mathbf{x}$, will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$.

Here is a description of the motivic Milnor fiber in terms of resolution of singularity, following [8, 11]. Let $h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be an embedded resolution of singularities of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_0)$ with the normal crossing divsor $h^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_0) = \sum_{i \in J} N_i E_i$. For nonempty $I \subset J$, put $E_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ and $E_I^\circ = E_I \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} E_j$. Consider the natural unramified Galois covering $\tilde{E}_I^\circ \to E_I^\circ$ with Galois group μ_{m_I}, $m_I = \gcd(N_i)_{i \in I}$. Then, we have

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}_f = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} (1 - [\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}])^{|I|-1} [\tilde{E}_I^\circ], \quad \mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} (1 - [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa])^{|I|-1} [\tilde{E}_I^\circ \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})].$$

Let R be the ring of formal power series with coefficients in κ, i.e. $R = \kappa[[t]]$. Consider a special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ with $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ as its structural morphism. Let $\mathfrak{X}_0$ be the reduction of the special fiber $\mathfrak{X}_s$, which is a separated κ-scheme of finite type, and let $\mathbf{x}$ be a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}_0$. A resolution of singularities of $\mathfrak{X}$ doing exist, following [34] and [32], Kontsevich-Soibelman defined in [20] the motivic Milnor fibers $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$ in a reasonable way using Denef-Loeser's formulas (1). This approach seems also to agree with Nicaise-Sebag's formula of the analytic Milnor fiber [29], Nicaise's formula of the motivic volume [32], or Jiang's definition of the motivic Milnor fiber of a cyclic L_∞-algebra [19]. More on the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function will be discussed in Subsection 6.4.

1.2. In [20], Kontsevich and Soibelman introduced the concept of motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants in the framework of 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau categories over a field of characteristic zero. While the motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants is physically realized as "BPS invariants", their mathematical meaning is inspired from the classical invariants such as Euler characteristic, Poincaré polynomial, etc. Among others, the derived category of coherent sheaves on a compact/local 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold is a central object of the investigation. The approach of Kontsevich-Soibelman [20] in this theory is to use motivic Milnor fiber instead of topological Milnor fiber (defined by Milnor [28]) as for the classical Donalson-Thomas invariants theory. In particular, Toën [35] develops knowledges of the derived Hall algebra, and Kontsevich-Soibelman [20] show the motivic analogue for these.

Main basics of Kontsevich and Soibelman's theory, beside other things, include the so-called integral identity conjecture (cf. [20, Conj. 4.4]) which concerns the motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function. More precisely, to guarantee the existence of the motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants for non-commutative 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties, one needs the integral identity, which is believed to be true.

Here is the statement of the conjecture. We fix a system of coordinates (x, y, z) of the κ-vector space $\kappa^d = \kappa^{d_1} \times \kappa^{d_2} \times \kappa^{d_3}$. Let f be in $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \kappa[[x, y, z]]_n$ such that it is invariant by the action of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$ with weight $(1, -1, 0)$. Then, f induces a formal R-scheme structure on the formal neighborhood $\mathfrak{X}$ (over κ) of $\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa$ in $\mathbb{A}^d_\kappa$; the structural morphism is written as $\hat{f}$. Similarly, f induces a formal function $\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ on the formal neighborhood $\mathfrak{Z}$ of 0 in $\mathbb{A}^{d_3}_\kappa$. Let us denote the embedding $\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ by i, and the forgetful morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa} \to \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$ by the integral notation $\int_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa}$.

Conjecture 1.1 (Kontsevich-Soibelman [20], Conj. 4.4)**.** *Assume that κ is a field of characteristic zero. Let f be a formal power series in $\kappa[[x, y, z]]$ such that $f(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and $f(\tau x, \tau^{-1} y, z) = f(x, y, z)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$. Then the integral identity $\int_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa} i^*\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}} = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{d_1}\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z}},0}$ holds in the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$.*

Working on this conjecture is the unique purpose of the present article, although the results obtained are not for all but the case of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Some approaches in the algebro/arithmetic-geometric and model-theoretic points of view will be mentioned.

1.3. First, let us study the regular version of Conjecture 1.1, that is which states for a regular function f. We studied this in [23], where the regular version is verified if f has the form either a composition of a polynomial in two variables with a pair of regular functions with no variable in common, or of Steenbrink type. More precisely, this version holds in the valuable scope of formulas of Guibert-Loeser-Merle [14, 15], under certain conditions. Herein, we continue the general work on the regular version in the context where κ is algebraically closed, and the answer to the conjecture in this case is positive.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that κ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let f be a regular function on $\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa \times_\kappa \mathbb{A}^{d_2}_\kappa \times_\kappa \mathbb{A}^{d_3}_\kappa$ such that $f(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and $f(\tau x, \tau^{-1} y, z) = f(x, y, z)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{A}^d_\kappa$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$. Then the integral identity $\int_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa} i^*\mathcal{S}_f = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{d_1}\mathcal{S}_{f|_{\mathbb{A}^{d_3}_\kappa},0}$ holds in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$.*

Our proof is suggested from the Hrushovski-Kazhdan motivic integration [16, 17] and a recent work of Hrushovski-Loeser [18] recovering the motivic Milnor fiber from the underlying space of an analytic Milnor fiber. Consider $\mathrm{ACVF}(0,0)$, the theory of algebraically closed valued fields of equal characteristic zero [16], where the base field is an algebraic closure of $K = \kappa((t))$. In [18], Hrushovski and Loeser construct a canonical ring morphism

$$\mathbb{HL} : K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\hat{\mu}}$$

linking a definable set in the theory $\mathrm{ACVF}(0,0)$ with a motive. Ideally, both sides of the integral identity in Theorem 1.2 are respectively the images through $\mathbb{HL}$ of the classes in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ of the following definable subsets of VF^d:

$$\{(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}$$

and

$$\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0 \\ \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty, \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t) \end{array}\right\}.$$

The rest work is to show that the image under $\mathbb{HL}$ of the class of

$$X_1 := \left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0 \\ \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) < \infty, \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t) \end{array}\right\} \tag{2}$$

is the zero element in the ring $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\hat{\mu}}$. The idea of proof is as follows. Observe that we can partition X_1 along the map $X_1 \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ given by $(x,y,z) \mapsto \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y)$ into the fibers $X_{1,\gamma}$ over $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. The multiplicative group $\kappa((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))[\tau, \tau^{-1}]$ acting on $X_{1,\gamma}$ by fixing the sum $\mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y)$ (equal to γ) on $X_{1,\gamma}$, namely $\tau(x,y,z) = (\tau x, \tau^{-1} y, z)$, is a free action. Then the natural projection from $X_{1,\gamma}$ to the quotient space $\widetilde{X}_{1,\gamma}$ is a fibration whose fibers are isomorphic as "half-closed" annuli of modulus γ. The image of such an annulus under $\mathbb{HL}$ is equal to zero. Using some properties of the Hrushovski-Kazhdan motivic integration [16, 17], the proof is completed.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be arranged in Sections 3, 4 and 5.

1.4. We shall introduce an approach to Conjecture 1.1 when κ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that κ is an algebraically cloed field of characteristic zero. Let f be in $\kappa[[x,y,z]]$ such that $f(0,0,0) = 0$ and $f(\tau x, \tau^{-1} y, z) = f(x,y,z)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$. Then $\int_{\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^{d_1}} i^* \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}} = [\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{d_1} \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_3, 0}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\hat{\mu}}$.*

Motivic integration is developed in the framework of quasi-compact separated formal schemes topologically of finite type, first in Sebag's work [33], followed by the articles of Loeser-Sebag [26] and Sebag-Nicaise [29]. These discuss various aspects in the framework such as motivic integration on separated quasi-compact smooth rigid varieties, motivic Poincaré series, motivic volume and its relation with motivic nearby cycles, the trace formula, analytic Milnor fiber, comparison theorem on étale cohomology for nearby cycles, etc. The main tool for the study is resolution of singularities. Further, by using Néron smoothening, say, $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$, with $\mathfrak{X}$ generically smooth special and $\mathfrak{Y}$ quasi-compact separated generically smooth topologically of finite type, Nicaise [32] extends results of [33], [26] and [29] on motivic integration to any generically smooth special formal scheme as well as motivic integration on bounded smooth rigid varieties.

An important result of Nicaise [32, Cor. 7.13] that extends [29, Cor. 7.7] that supports our proof of Theorem 1.3 is the following thing. Let us consider the formal scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \hat{f})$ in Conjecture 1.1. By a resolution of singularities of $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_0)$, one deduces from [32, Cor. 7.13] and the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}}$ that

$$S(\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}}, \widehat{K^s}) = [\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}}]^{-d+1} i^* \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}} \ \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}},$$

where the quantity on the right hand side is the motivic volume of $\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}}$. Under the forgetful morphism, the image $\mathtt{MV}([(\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}})_\eta])$ of $S(\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}}, \widehat{K^s})$ only depends on the generic fiber $(\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}})_\eta$. By Proposition 7.14, we are able to show that $\mathtt{MV}$ defines a group morphism from the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathrm{BSRig}_{\widehat{K^s}})$ of bounded smooth rigid $\widehat{K^s}$-varieties to $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$. Now write the rigid $\widehat{K^s}$-variety

$$(\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}})_\eta = \left\{ (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d,\mathrm{an}} : \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0 \\ \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, f(x,y,z) = t \end{array} \right\}$$

as a disjoint union of $X'_0 = \{(x,y,z) \in (\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}})_\eta : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty\}$ and

$$X'_1 = \{(x,y,z) \in (\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}})_\eta : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) < \infty\}. \tag{3}$$

We prove that $\mathtt{MV}([X'_0]) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1} \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_3}$ in the same way as previous, that is, using [32, Cor. 4.11, 7.13]. Note that, if κ is an algebraically closed field, one can apply the Hrushovski-Kazhdan motivic integration to the theory $\mathrm{ACVF}^R(0,0)$ of rigid varieties over an algebraically closed valued field of equal characteristic zero. In this context, the link between $\mathtt{MV}$ and $\mathtt{HL}$ is the following (see Corollary 8.2)

$$\mathtt{MV}([Y]) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\delta} \mathtt{HL}([Y^\star]), \ \text{for } [Y] \in K(\mathrm{BSRig}_{\widehat{K^s}}),$$

where δ is some natural number, $Y^\star$ is a modified version of Y. For instance, if Y is X'_1 in (3), then $Y^\star$ is exactly X_1 in (2) and $\delta = d-1$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that $\mathtt{HL}([X_1]) = 0$, thus $\mathtt{MV}([X'_1]) = 0$.

2. Motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function

2.1. **Grothendieck ring of algebraic varieties.** Given $\mathcal{S}$ an algebraic κ-variety, that is, a separated and reduced scheme of finite type over κ. We denote by $\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}$ the category of $\mathcal{S}$-varieties, where objects in $\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}$ are morphisms of algebraic κ-varieties $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ and morphsms in $\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}$ from $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{S}$ are morphisms of algebraic κ-varieties $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ which commute with $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{S}$. Consider the relative Grothendieck ring of algebraic varieties with good $\hat{\mu}$-action, $K(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\hat{\mu}})$, where $\hat{\mu}$ is the projective limit of the projective system of $\mu_{mn} \to \mu_m$, $x \mapsto x^n$, with μ_m the group scheme of mth roots of unity. In general, the action of an algebraic group G on a variety $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be *good* if every G-orbit is contained in an affine open subset of $\mathcal{X}$. For a linear algebraic group G, a good action of G on an affine Zariski bundle $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ is *affine* if it is a lifting of a good action on $\mathcal{S}$ and its restriction to all fibers is affine.

Fix a good action of $\hat{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{S}$, possibly choose the trivial action. The Grothendieck group $K(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\hat{\mu}})$[1] is by definition an abelian group generated by symbols $[\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}]$, where the κ-variety $\mathcal{X}$ is endowed with a good $\hat{\mu}$-action and $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a $\hat{\mu}$-equivariant morphism of algebraic κ-varieties, modulo the following relations:

- $[\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}] = [\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{S}]$ if $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ are $\mathcal{S}$-isomorphic;

---

[1] It is normally denoted by $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\hat{\mu}})$ as in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]; however, we would like to have the consistency in notation of the rings of this type in the Denef-Loeser motivic integration with the Grothendieck ring in the Hrushovski-Kazhdan motivic integration in [16, 17] or [18].

- $[\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{S}]=[\mathcal{X}'\to\mathcal{S}]+[\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{X}'\to\mathcal{S}]$ if $\mathcal{X}'$ is Zariski closed in $\mathcal{X}$; and
- $[\mathcal{X}\times\mathbb{A}^n_\kappa,\sigma]=[\mathcal{X}\times\mathbb{A}^n_\kappa,\sigma']$ if σ and σ' are lifted from the same $\hat{\mu}$-action on $\mathcal{X}$ to an affine action on $\mathcal{X}\times\mathbb{A}^n_\kappa$.

There is a natural ring structure on $K(\mathrm{Var}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}})$ of which the product is induced by the fiber product over $\mathcal{S}$. Note that the unit $1_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the class of the identity morphism $\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}$ with the trivial action. We shall denote by $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{S}}$ the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{S}\times\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa\to\mathcal{S}$ and put $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}}=K(\mathrm{Var}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}})[[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{S}}]^{-1}]$. If $\mathcal{S}=\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, we denote this ring simply by $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$.

Let $f:\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}'$ be a morphism of algebraic κ-varieties. Then we have two important operations associated to f: the push-forward morphism (which is a homomorphism of abelian groups, and also called the forgetful morphism) $f_!:\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}}\to\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ defined by the composition with f, and the pullback morphism $f^*:\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}'}\to\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{S}}$ induced from the fiber product (which is a homomorphism of rings). If $\mathcal{S}'=\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, we shall denote the forgetful morphism $f_!$ as the integral $\int_{\mathcal{S}}$.

2.2. **Arc spaces.** Assume that the field κ has characteristic zero. To a κ-variety $\mathcal{X}$ and an integer $m\geq 0$ corresponds $\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X})$ the κ-scheme that represents the functor

$$\mathcal{A}\mapsto \mathrm{Mor}_{\kappa-\mathrm{schemes}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}[t]/t^{m+1}\mathcal{A}[t]),\mathcal{X})$$

from the category of κ-algebras to the category of sets. For $n\geq m\geq 0$ the truncation map $\kappa[t]/t^{n+1}\kappa[t]\to\kappa[t]/t^{m+1}\kappa[t]$ induces a trancation morphism of κ-schemes

$$\pi^n_m:\mathcal{L}_n(\mathcal{X})\to\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X}).$$

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth κ-variety, then π^n_m is a locally trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{(n-m)\dim\mathcal{X}}$. In general the trancation morphisms are affine morphisms. We consider the projective limit $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ of κ-schemes $\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X})$ in the category of schemes. For any field extension κ' of κ one has $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})(\kappa')=\mathcal{X}(\kappa'[t])$. We shall denote by π_m the natural morphism $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})\to\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X})$.

2.3. **Motivic zeta function and motivic Milnor fiber.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth κ-variety of pure dimension d, and $f:\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa$ a regular function. We shall denote by $\mathcal{X}_0=\mathcal{X}_0(f)$ the zero locus of the function f. For any integer $m\geq 1$, we define

$$\mathcal{X}_m(f)=\{\varphi(t)\in\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X}):f(\varphi(t))=t^m \mod t^{m+1}\}.$$

Since its image through the canonical morphism $\pi^m_0:\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X})\to\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\mathcal{X}_0$, $\mathcal{X}_m(f)$ is an $\mathcal{X}_0$-variety. The group $\hat{\mu}$ acts on $\mathcal{X}_m(f)$ via μ_m given by $a\cdot\varphi(t)=\varphi(at)$ for any a in μ_m. More precisely, $\hat{\mu}$ acts on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and on $\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X})$ in such a way that $\mathcal{X}_m(f)$ is invariant under that action. Thus the morphism $\mathcal{X}_m(f)\to\mathcal{X}_0$ defines a class $[\mathcal{X}_m(f)]$ in the ring $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$. Putting

$$Z_f(T)=\sum_{m\geq 1}[\mathcal{X}_m(f)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{-md}T^m,$$

one obtains a formal power series in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}[[T]]$. This series $Z_f(T)$ is call the *motivic zeta function* of the regular function f. If $f=0$, then we have $Z_f(T)=0$.

Denef-Loeser proved in [8, 11] that the motivic zeta function $Z_f(T)$ is a series in the subring $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}[[T]]_{sr}$ generated by 1 and by finite products of terms $[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^eT^i/(1-$

$[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^eT^i)$ with e in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{N}$, i.e. it is rational. Indeed, consider the resolution of singularities $h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ as in Section 1 and assume that

$$K_{\mathcal{Y}} = h^*K_{\mathcal{X}} + \sum_{i\in J}(\nu_i - 1)E_i,$$

where $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $K_{\mathcal{Y}}$ denote the canonical divisors on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. Then, by [8, 11], the series $Z_f(T)$ can be written in the following form

$$Z_f(T) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}([\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}] - 1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ]\prod_{i\in I}\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{-\nu_i}T^{N_i}}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{-\nu_i}T^{N_i}}. \tag{4}$$

As shown in [8] (and [14]), there is a unique $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$-linear morphism $\lim_{T\to\infty}$: $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}[[T]]_{sr} \to \mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ which satisfies the property that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\left(\prod_{i\in I}\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{e_i}T^{j_i}}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}]^{e_i}T^{j_i}}\right) = (-1)^{|I|}$$

for every family $((e_i, j_i))_{i\in I}$ in $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{N}_{>0}$, with I finite and maybe empty. Therefore by (4),

$$\mathcal{S}_f := -\lim_{T\to\infty}Z_f(T) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ],$$

which is evidently well-defined in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$. It is called the *motivic Milnor fiber* of the regular function f.

If $\mathbf{x}$ is a closed point of $\mathcal{X}_0$, one defines $Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T)$ as $(\{\mathbf{x}\}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{X}_0)^*Z_f(T)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}$ as $(\{\mathbf{x}\}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{X}_0)^*\mathcal{S}_f$. Equivalently, one can define directly $Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T)$ to be a formal power series in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\kappa}[[T]]$,

$$Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T) = \sum_{m\geq 1}[\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathbf{x}}(f)][\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-md}T^m,$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathbf{x}}(f) = \{\varphi(t)\in\mathcal{L}_m(\mathcal{X}) : f(\varphi(t)) = t^m \mod t^{m+1}, \varphi(0) = \mathbf{x}\}$. As proved in [8], the series $Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T)$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_{\kappa}[[T]]_{sr}$. Namely, with the resolution of singularities $h : \mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ described as previous, one has

$$Z_{f,\mathbf{x}}(T) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}([\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]-1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ\cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]\prod_{i\in I}\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\nu_i}T^{N_i}}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\nu_i}T^{N_i}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ\cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]$, which lies in $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{\mu}}_\kappa$. We call it the *(local) motivic Milnor fiber* of f *at* $\mathbf{x}$.

3. Logical setting and integration

In this section, we shall use [16, 17] and [18] as principal references.

3.1. **Notation about ACVF-theory.** Let us consider the theory ACVF(0, 0) of algebraically closed valued fields of equal characteristic zero, which has two sorts VF and RV. The language on ACVF(0, 0) consists of

- the language of rings on the VF-sort,
- the language on RV-sort with abelian group operations $\cdot$, $/$, a unary predicate $\mathbf{k}^*$ for a subgroup, a binary operation $+$ on $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^*\cup\{0\}$, and
- the function notation rv for a function $\mathrm{VF}^*\to\mathrm{RV}$.

The theory states that VF is a valued field, with valuation ring R and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathrm{rv} : \mathrm{VF}^* \to \mathrm{RV}$ is a surjective group homomorphism, and the restriction to R (augmented by $0 \mapsto 0$) is a surjective ring homomorphism.

The relations of VF, RV, Γ and $\mathbf{k}$ is as follows: $\mathrm{RV} = \mathrm{VF}^*/(1+\mathfrak{m})$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{VF}^*/R^*$ and $\mathbf{k} = R/\mathfrak{m}$. There is an exact sequence of abelian groups and group homomorphisms

$$0 \to \mathbf{k}^* \to \mathrm{RV} \overset{\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}}{\to} \Gamma \to 0$$

and we view Γ as an imaginary sort. Consider the natural maps $\mathrm{rv} : \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{RV}$, $\mathrm{val} : \mathrm{VF} \to \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}} : \mathrm{RV} \to \Gamma$. Note that

$$R = \{x \in \mathrm{VF} : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0\},\ \mathfrak{m} = \{x \in \mathrm{VF} : \mathrm{val}(x) > 0\},$$

thus R and $\mathfrak{m}$ are respectively the non-archimedian closed and open discs of valuative radius 0.

Let A be an ordered abelian group. The structure $\mathrm{ACVF}_A(0,0)$ induces on Γ is of a uniquely divisible abelian group, with constants for the elements of $\Gamma(A)$. Thus, every definable subset of Γ is a finite union of points and open intervals.

3.2. Definable bounded subsets in $\mathbf{ACVF}_A(0,0)$.

Fix a base field K and A an ordered abelian group, which may be $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \Gamma(K)$ in our setting. Let n be a natural number. We shall recall some fundamental notions which were already introduced in [16, 17].

First we denote by $\Gamma_A[n]$ the category of A-definable subsets of Γ^n, and $\Gamma_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ the subcategory of $\Gamma_A[n]$ of bounded subsets. Further, we consider $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma_A[n]$ the category whose objects are those of $\Gamma_A[n]$ and whose morphisms $h : X \to Y$ satisfy $|x| = |h(x)|$, where $|x| := \sum_i x_i$. Denote by $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma_A^{\mathrm{2bdd}}[n]$, resp. $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$, the full subcategory of $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma_A[n]$ with objects bounded on both sides, resp. bounded below.

We also consider the category $\mathrm{RV}_A[n]$, resp. $\mathrm{volRV}_A[n]$, of pairs (X, f) in which X is a A-definable subset of RV^m for some integer $m \geq 1$, $f : X \to \mathrm{RV}^n$ is a finite-to-one map (i.e. $\mathrm{ObvolRV}_A[n] = \mathrm{ObRV}_A[n]$). A morphism in $\mathrm{RV}_A[n]$, resp. in $\mathrm{volRV}_A[n]$, is a definable bijection $h : X \to Y$, resp. a definable bijection $h : X \to Y$ satisfying $\sum_i x_i = \sum_i h_i(x)$. Let $\mathrm{volRV}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$, resp. $\mathrm{volRV}_A^{\mathrm{2bdd}}[n]$, be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{volRV}_A[n]$ whose objects have Γ_A-image contained in $[\gamma, \infty]^n$, resp. in $[\gamma, \delta]^n$, for some $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma_A$. Let $\mathrm{RES}[n]$, resp. $\mathrm{volRES}[n]$, denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{RV}[n]$, resp. $\mathrm{volRV}[n]$, such that Γ_A-image of its objects is a finite set.

The category $\mathrm{VF}_A[n]$ is by definition the category of definable subsets of n-dimensional varieties over K. In other words, objects of $\mathrm{VF}_A[n]$ are A-definable subsets X of $\mathrm{VF}^m \times \mathrm{RV}^k$ for some $m, k \geq 0$ admiting an A-definable map $X \to \mathrm{VF}^n$ with finite fibers. Let us consider the category $\mathrm{volVF}[n]$ defined as follows. The objects of $\mathrm{volVF}[n]$ are exactly those of $\mathrm{VF}_A[n]$. A morphism $(X, f) \to (Y, g)$ in $\mathrm{volVF}[n]$ is an A-definable bijection $h : X \to Y$ such that $h^*g^*dx = f^*dx$ away from a variety of dimension $< n$, where $dx = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ is the standard volume form on VF^n. The category $\mathrm{volVF}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ is defined to be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{volVF}_A[n]$ of objects (X, f) with $f(X)$ bounded.

From now on, we shall omit the subscript A whenever possible. If $\mathcal{C}$ is one of the categories mentioned as above we shall denote by $K_+(\mathcal{C})$ and $K(\mathcal{C})$ its Grothendieck semi-ring and Grothendieck ring, respectively.

3.3. **Integration over** VF**.** We recall the definition of integral on a definable bounded subset of VF^n, following Section 11 of [16]. We shall denote by $[0]_1$ the class of the 1-dimensional open disc $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in \mathrm{VF} : \mathrm{val}(x) > 0\}$ in VF, and by

$$K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) = (K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])[[0]_1^{-1}])_0,$$

the homogeneous part of the graded semi-ring $K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])[[0]_1^{-1}]$. Now assume that X is a definable bounded subset of VF^n. Let $Fn(X, K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}))$ denote the semigroup of definable functions $X \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$. By definition, an element of $Fn(X, K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}))$ is represented by a definable set $T \subset X \times \mathrm{VF}^n$ such that $T(x) = \{y : (x,y) \in T\}$ is bounded for any x. Two such sets T, T' represent the same function if for any extension A' of A and any x' in $X_{A'} \in \mathrm{ObvolVF}_{A'}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ (the pullback of X in $\mathrm{VF}_{A'}^n$) one has

$$[T(x')][0]_1^{-n} = [T'(x')][0]_1^{-n}$$

(see an analogous argument in [17, Subsection 2.3]). That addition and multiplcation are defined pointwise on representatives yields a semi-ring structure on $Fn(X, K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}))$. Furthermore, note that

$$Fn(X, K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}_{A(a')}^{\mathrm{bdd}})) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes Fn(X, K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}))$$

for a' in any extension of A (see [16, 17]).

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the data corresponding to $K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ in the terminology of [16]. More precisely, $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of pairs (X, ϕ) with X in $\mathrm{ObvolVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ for some n and $\phi : X \to \Gamma$ a bounded definable function. A *boundedly represented* definable function $f : X \to K_+^{df}(\mathcal{D})$ has the form $[0]_1^{-m}F$, where m is some integer and $F : X \to K_+(\mathcal{D}[m])$ is a definable function which is possibly represented by some bounded $\overline{F} \in \mathcal{D}[m+n]_X$. Then we can define the integral (which is independent of the choice of $\overline{F}$, see [16]),

$$\int_X f := [0]_1^{-m-n}[\overline{F}]_{m+n}. \tag{5}$$

Note that the formula of integral (5) is normalized so that the volume of the open disc $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in \mathrm{VF} : \mathrm{val}(x) > 0\}$ is equal to 1 in $K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$. Namely, $\mathrm{vol}([0]_1) = \int_{[0]_1} 1 = [0]_1^{-1}[0]_1 = 1$.

For a definable function $f : X \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ which can be expressed as the difference of two boundedly represented functions $X \to K_+(\mathcal{D})$, we can extend the concept to the the integral $\int_X f$ by linearity. Furthermore, if there exists a definable function $\phi : X \to \Gamma$ such that the restriction of f to $X_\gamma = \phi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is the difference of two boundedly represented functions $X \to K_+(\mathcal{D})$, for all γ, one has

$$\int_X f = \int_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{X_\gamma} f|_{X_\gamma}. \tag{6}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(a, r)$ denote the closed ball in VF centered at a with valuative radius $r \in \Gamma$, namely $\mathcal{B}(a, r) = \{x \in \mathrm{VF} : \mathrm{val}(x - a) \geq r\}$. In Section 5, we shall consider the function $f : X \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}})$ given by $f(x) = [\mathcal{B}(0, \phi(x))]$, which is also integrable.

4. From definable VF-sets to algebraic κ-varieties

Let $K := \kappa((t))$ and $R := \kappa[[t]]$ with κ an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In Sections 4 and 5, the base structural field will be K^{alg}, an algebraic closure of K, and hence the base structural group A will be $\mathbb{Q}$.

4.1. By [17], there is a canonical morphism of Grothendieck semi-rings

$$\Psi : K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]), \tag{7}$$

where $\ker(\Psi)$ is generated by $[\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes [\gamma]_1$, with γ definable in Γ. By [18], the restriction of the morphism (7) to $K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ yields a canonical morphism

$$\Psi : K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*]). \tag{8}$$

Now for an integer $n \geq 0$ one defines a map $\mathbb{L} : \mathrm{ObvolRV}[n] \to \mathrm{ObvolVF}[n]$ sending a pair (X, f) to the set $\{(y_1, \dots, y_n, x) \in \mathrm{VF}^n \times X : \mathrm{rv}(y_i) = f_i(x)\}$. It induces a canonical morphism of semi-rings

$$\int : K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]) \to K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}},$$

where I'_{sp} is the congruence generated by $[1]_1 = [\mathrm{RV}^{>0}]_1$ with the constant volume form 0 in Γ. It satisfies the property that $[X] = [\mathbb{L}(V)]$ in $K_+(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])$ if and only if $\int([X]) = [V] + I'_{\mathrm{sp}}$ in $K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}}$. This morphism induces a ring homomorphism between corresponding rings, which we shall also denote by $\int$.

4.2. **The morphism h_m.** Intuitively, each element of $\mathrm{RV}^{\infty} = \mathrm{RV} \cup \{0\}$ has the form αt^a with $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $a \in \Gamma$. Furthermore, if $X \subset \mathrm{RES}^n$, its elements are n-tuples $(\alpha_1 t^{a_1}, \dots, \alpha_n t^{a_n})$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and all a_i belong to a finite set F. Thus, in general, the $K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])$ is not really the Grothendieck ring of algebraic varieties (without action). Now if we identify all element of F, in other words if we require $\#F = 1$, then X is nothing but a subset of the affine variety $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^n$. This remark leads to definition of $!K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])$, $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])$ and the versions without volume (using the same way). In the formal language, $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])$ is the quotient of $K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])$ by identifying $[\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(a)] = [\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(0)]$ for a running over $\Gamma(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Let us recall the construction of h_m in [18, Subsec. 8.2]. For an integer $m \geq 1$ and a bounded definable subset Δ of Γ^n, putting

$$a_m(\Delta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta \cap (1/m\mathbb{Z})^n} [\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-m|\gamma|}(1 - [\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-1})^n,$$

one defines a morphism of semi-rings $K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-1}]$. Also, for a pair (X, f) in $\mathrm{RES}[n]$ with $f(X) \subset V_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times V_{\gamma_n}$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, putting

$$b_m(X) = \begin{cases} [X][\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-m|\gamma|-n} & \text{if } m\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

one defines a morphism of semi-rings $K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-1}]$. Tensoring of b_m with a_m yields a morphism

$$b_m \otimes a_m : K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^1]^{-1}],$$

which satisfies the inclusion $\ker(b_m \otimes a_m) \subset \ker(\Psi)$. Indeed, this fact comes from the following computations (cf. [18, Subsec. 8.2]): if $\gamma = i/m$, then $a_m([\gamma]_1) =$

$[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-i}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1})$, $[\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1 = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]-1$, and hence $a_m([\gamma]_1) = b_m([\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1)$. It follows that there exists a morphism

$$h_m : K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}} \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}/\ker(b_m\otimes a_m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{b_m\otimes a_m}"'] & \mathcal{A}/\ker(\Psi) \arrow[d] \\
K_+(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}} \arrow[r, "h_m"] & !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the semi-rings $K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$.

The morphism h_m of semi-rings induces a morphism of corresponding rings, that we shall use the same notation

$$h_m : K(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}} \to !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}].$$

4.3. **The morphism Υ.** This morphism is constructed in [18, Subsec. 8.4]. Denote by χ the o-minimal Euler characteristic and consider the following morphisms

$$\alpha : K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma[*]) \to !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]$$

and

$$\beta : K(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \to !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]$$

which are given, respectively, by $\alpha([\Delta]) = \chi(\Delta)(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1})^n$ for $[\Delta] \in K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma[n])$ and $\beta([X]) = [X][\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-n}$ for $[X] \in K(\mathrm{volRES}[n])$. Tensoring of α with β yields a morphism

$$\beta\otimes\gamma : K(\mathrm{volRES}[*]) \otimes K(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma[*]) \to !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}].$$

Since $\ker(\beta\otimes\alpha) \subset \ker(\Psi)$ (cf. [18, Subsec. 8.2]), this morphism $\beta\otimes\gamma$ induces a morphism of Grothendieck rings

$$\Upsilon : K(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}].$$

Proposition 8.4.1 of [18] shows the relation between h_m's and Υ as follows. For any Y in $K(\mathrm{volRV}^{2\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$, the series $\sum_{m\geq 1} h_m(Y)T^m$ is in $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}][[T]]_{sr}$, that is, it is rational. Furthermore, one has

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1} h_m(Y)T^m = -\Upsilon(Y). \tag{9}$$

4.4. **The morphism $\tilde{\Theta}$.** Following [16] and [18], consider a sequence $(t_m)_{m\geq 1}$ in the algebraic closure K^{alg} of K given by $t_1 = t$, $t_{nm}^m = t_n$ for $n \geq 1$, and set $t_{k/m} := t_m^k$, $\mathbf{t}_{k/m} := \mathrm{rv}(t_{k/m})$. Let X be a K-definable set over RES. Since the sorts of RES are the $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces

$$V_{k/m} := \{x \in \mathrm{RV} : \mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(x) = k/m\} \cup \{0\},$$

one can view X as a definable subset of $\prod_{i=1}^n V_{k_i/m}$ for some n, m and k_i's. The group $\hat{\mu}$ acts on X via μ_m. The image Y of X under the $\kappa((t^{1/m}))$-definable function

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mapsto (x_1/\mathbf{t}_{k_1/m},\ldots,x_n/\mathbf{t}_{k_n/m})$$

is a κ-definable subset of $\mathbf{k}^n$, that is, a constructible subset of $\mathbb{A}^n_\kappa$, and it is endowed with a μ_m-action induced from the one on X. In other words, the correspondence

$X \mapsto Y$ defines a morphism of Grothendieck semi-rings $K_+(\mathrm{RES}[*]) \to K_+(Var_\kappa^{\hat\mu})$, which by [16, Lem. 10.7] and [18, Prop. 4.3.1] induces an isomorphism

$$\Theta : !K(\mathrm{RES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}] \to !K(Var_\kappa^{\hat\mu})[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}].$$

Composing the canonical morphism $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}] \to !K(\mathrm{RES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}]$ with Θ and with $!K(Var_\kappa^{\hat\mu})[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}] \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa^{\hat\mu}$ yields a ring homomorphism

$$\tilde\Theta : !K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}] \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa^{\hat\mu}.$$

4.5. **The morphism $\mathbb{HL}$.** Let us consider now the compositions $\mathbb{HL} = \tilde\Theta \circ \Upsilon \circ \int$ and $\mathbb{HL}_m = \tilde\Theta \circ h_m \circ \int$, which both are ring homomorphisms from $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ to $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^{\hat\mu}$.

Recall from [18, Sec. 3] that, given $\beta \in \Gamma$, a definable set $X \subset \mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^m$ is β-invariant (resp. β^+-invariant) if, for any $(x, x') \in \mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^m$ and any $(y, y') \in \mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^m$ with $\mathrm{val}(y) \geq \beta$ (resp. $\mathrm{val}(y) > \beta$), both (x, x') and $(x, x') + (y, y')$ simutaneously belong to either X or $\mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^m \setminus X$. By [18, Lem. 3.1.1], for any definable subset $X \subset \mathrm{VF}^n$ which is bounded and closed in the valuation topology, there exists a $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that X is β-invariant.

Let $\beta \in \Gamma$, and $K(m)$ denote $\kappa((t^{1/m}))$. Assume $X \subset \mathrm{VF}^n \times \mathrm{RV}^\ell$ is a β-invariant, bounded K-definable set. By [16], the $K(m)$-points $X(m) := X(K(m))$ are the pullback of some definable subset $X[m;\beta] \subset \big(\kappa[t^{1/m}]/t^\beta\big)^n \times \mathrm{RV}^\ell$, and the propjection $X[m;\beta] \to \mathrm{VF}^n$ has finite fibers. If $\beta' \in \Gamma$ with $\beta \leq \beta'$, then the identity $X[m;\beta'] = X[m;\beta][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{nm(\beta'-\beta)}]$ holds in $!K(\mathrm{RES})$. In other words, for any $\beta' \geq \beta$, the identity

$$X[m;\beta'][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-nm\beta'} = X[m;\beta][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-nm\beta}$$

holds in the localization $!K(\mathrm{RES})[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}]$. One denotes this common value by $\widetilde{X}[m]$, which does not depend on β. By abuse of notation we also write $\widetilde{X}[m]$ for its image $\tilde\Theta(\widetilde{X}[m]) \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^{\hat\mu}$ through the ring homomorphism $\tilde\Theta$ mentioned in the previous subsection.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a bounded definable subset X of* VF^n.

(i) *We have $\mathbb{HL}_m([X]) = \widetilde{X}[m]$.*
(ii) *The power series $\sum_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{HL}_m([X])T^m$ is rational; furthermore, we have*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \sum_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{HL}_m([X])T^m = -\mathbb{HL}([X]).$$

Proof. The item (i) follows from [18, Prop. 8.2.1], while the item (ii) from [18, Prop. 8.4.1], i.e. (9) as above. □

Using $\mathbb{HL}$ one can describe motivic classes of algebraic varieties through data on $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$. For instance, consider $\mathcal{X}$ a smooth connected algebraic κ-variety of dimension d and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{A}_\kappa^1$ a non-constant regular function. Let π denote the reduction map $\mathcal{X}(R) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{f,\mathbf{x}}$, with a closed point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0$, is the image through $\mathbb{HL}$ of the class in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ of the following definable subset of VF^d (see [18, Cor. 8.4.2])

$$\{x \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{rv}(f(x)) = \mathrm{rv}(t), \pi(x) = \mathbf{x}\}.$$

5. Proof of the regular version (Theorem 1.2)

5.1. **Data of f in the ring $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$.** Let us consider the regular function f of Theorem 1.2 and its data which are naturally saved in the measured Grothendieck ring $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$. Denote by h the restriction of f to $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_3}$.

Let $\pi : \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d(R) \to \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d(\mathbf{k})$ and $\pi' : \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_3}(R) \to \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_3}(\mathbf{k})$ be the reduction maps. As seen in Subsection 4.5, the definable sets $\pi'^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1})$ will possibly provide a lot of information on respectively $\mathcal{S}_{h,0}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*\mathcal{S}_f$. Consider the following definable subsets

$$
\begin{aligned}
X &= \{(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \pi(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}, \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\} \\
&= \left\{ (x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0 \\ \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_0 &= \{z \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_3} : \pi'(z) = 0, \mathrm{rv}(f(0,0,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\} \\
&= \{z \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_3} : \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, \mathrm{rv}(f(0,0,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

Now decompose X into a disjoint union $X = X_0 \sqcup X_1$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_0 &= \{(x,y,z) \in X : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty\} \text{ and} \\
X_1 &= \{(x,y,z) \in X : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) < \infty\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and write $X_0 = Y_0 \times Z_0$ with Z_0 as in (11),

$$Y_0 = \{(x,y) \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_1+d_2} : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty\}.$$

In the following subsections, we are going to prove that

$$\mathbb{HL}([X]) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*\mathcal{S}_f, \ \mathbb{HL}([X_0]) = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1}\mathcal{S}_{h,0}, \text{ and } \mathbb{HL}([X_1]) = 0.$$

5.2. **Computation of $\mathbb{HL}([X])$.** Recall that

$$X = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{VF}^d : \pi(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}, \mathrm{rv}(f(x,y,z)) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\},$$

and it is 1^+-invariant, hence β-invariant for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > 1$. For $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X[m;\beta] &= \{\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d(\kappa[t^{1/m}]/t^\beta) : \varphi(0) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}, \mathrm{rv} f(\varphi) = \mathrm{rv}(t)\} \\
&= \{\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d(\kappa[t^{1/m}]/t^\beta) : \varphi(0) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}, f(\varphi) = t \mod t^{(m+1)/m}\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is isomorphic as a κ-variety via the morphism $t^{1/m} \mapsto t$ to the κ-variety

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^d(\kappa[t]/t^{m\beta}) : \varphi(0) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}, f(\varphi) = t^m \mod t^{m+1}\} \\
&\cong \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{md(\beta-1)} \times \left(\mathcal{X}_m(f) \times_{\mathcal{X}_0} \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{HL}_m([X]) &= \widetilde{X}[m] = [X[m;\beta]][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-md\beta} \\
&= [\mathcal{X}_m(f) \times_{\mathcal{X}_0} \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-md} = \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*\left([\mathcal{X}_m(f)][\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{X}_0}^1]^{-md}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$\mathcal{S}_f = -\lim_{T\to\infty} \sum_{m\geq 1} [\mathcal{X}_m(f)][\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{X}_0}^1]^{-md} T^m,$$

we deduce from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\mathbb{HL}([X]) = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{HL}_m([X])T^m = \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*\mathcal{S}_f.$$

5.3. **Computation of $\mathbb{HL}([X_0])$.** Decomposing Y_0 into the disjoint union of definable sets $Y_0^1 = \{x \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_1} : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0\}$ and $Y_0^2 = \{y \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_2} : 0 < \mathrm{val}(y) < \infty\}$, one has

$$\mathbb{HL}([X_0]) = \big(\mathbb{HL}([Y_0^1]) + \mathbb{HL}([Y_0^2])\big)\mathbb{HL}([Z_0]).$$

We now claim that $\mathbb{HL}([Y_0^1]) = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1}$, $\mathbb{HL}([Y_0^2]) = 0$ and $\mathbb{HL}([Z_0]) = \mathcal{S}_{h,0}$.

First note that Y_0^1 is 1^+-invariant, thus β-invariant for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 1$. For any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^1[m;\beta] &= \{\varphi_1 \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}(\kappa[t^{1/m}]/t^\beta) : \varphi_1(0) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}\} \\ &\cong \{\varphi_1 \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}(\kappa[t]/t^{m\beta}) : \varphi_1(0) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}\} = \mathcal{L}_{m\beta}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{HL}_m([Y_0^1]) = \widetilde{Y_0^1}[m] = [\mathcal{L}_{m\beta}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1})][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-md_1\beta} = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1},$$

thus, by Proposition 4.1,

$$\mathbb{HL}_{(}[Y_0^1]) = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{HL}_m([Y_0^1])T^m = -\lim_{T\to\infty}[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1}\frac{T}{1-T} = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1}.$$

Also, since $Y_0^2 = \{y \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_2} : \mathrm{val}(y) > 0\} \setminus \{0\}$, so for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^2[m;\beta] &= \{\varphi_2 \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_2}(\kappa[t^{1/m}]/t^\beta) : \varphi_2(0) = 0\} \setminus \{0\} \\ &\cong \{\varphi_2 \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_2}(\kappa[t]/t^{m\beta}) : \varphi_2(0) = 0\} \setminus \{0\} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{m\beta,0}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_2}) \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{HL}_m([Y_0^2]) &= \mathbb{HL}_m([\{y \in \mathrm{VF}^{d_2} : \mathrm{val}(y) > 0\}]) - \mathbb{HL}_m([\{0\}]) \\ &= [\mathcal{L}_{m\beta,0}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_2})][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-md_2\beta} - 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{HL}([Y_0^2]) = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{HL}_m([Y_0^2]) = 0$. Finally, for $m \geq 1$, similarly as pevious, $\mathbb{HL}_m([Z_0]) = [\mathcal{X}_{m,0}(h)][\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-md_3}$, we deduce from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\mathbb{HL}([Z_0]) = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}\mathbb{HL}_m([Z_0]) = \mathcal{S}_{h,0}.$$

In conclusion, one has

$$\mathbb{HL}([X_0] = (\mathbb{HL}([Y_0^1]) + \mathbb{HL}([Y_0^2]))\mathbb{HL}([Z_0]) = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1}\mathcal{S}_{h,0}.$$

5.4. **Computation of $\mathbb{HL}([X_1])$.** Notice that the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ acts freely on $(\mathrm{VF}^{d_1} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathrm{VF}^{d_2} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{VF}^{d_3}$ by $\tau \cdot (x,y,z) = (\tau x, \tau^{-1}y, z)$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$. This yields a canonical projection $\rho : X_1 \to \tilde{X}_1$ of X_1 *onto* the quotient set $\tilde{X}_1$ (see [16, Rmk. 3.54]). Here, $\tilde{X}_1$ is the set of orbits of $\mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ on the definable set X_1, and it can be described explicitly as follows. Recall that, in this context, $\mathfrak{m} = \{\tau \in K^{\mathrm{alg}} : \mathrm{val}(\tau) > 0\}$. Note that the embedding of multiplicative groups $1 + \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ induces a bijection of definable sets

$$\big((\mathrm{VF}^{d_1} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathrm{VF}^{d_2} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{VF}^{d_3}\big)/\mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$$

and

$$P := \big((\mathrm{VF}^{d_1} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathrm{VF}^{d_2} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{VF}^{d_3}\big)/(1+\mathfrak{m}).$$

Note that P is a finite disjoint union of definable sets of the form $\mathrm{RV}^i \times \mathrm{VF}^{d_3}$, where $i = 2, \dots, d_1 + d_2$. (More precisely, for each $i = 2, \dots, d_1 + d_2$, there are

$$\sum_{i_1 \geq 1, i_2 \geq 1, i_1 + i_2 = i} \big[\big(\begin{smallmatrix} d_1 \\ i_1 \end{smallmatrix}\big) + \big(\begin{smallmatrix} d_2 \\ i_2 \end{smallmatrix}\big)\big]$$

copies of $\mathrm{RV}^i \times \mathrm{VF}^{d_3}$ in the disjoint union.) Furthermore, the function f is constant on each orbit, hence it induces a function on P, which we still denote by f. Then $\tilde{X}_1$ is a following definable bounded set in $\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}[*]$

$$\tilde{X}_1 = \{\tilde{\xi} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, z = (z_1, \dots, z_{d_3})) \in P : \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, f(\tilde{\xi}) = t\}.$$

An element of $\tilde{X}_1 = X_1/\mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$ is an orbit $\tilde{\xi} := \mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}} \cdot (x, y, z) \cap X_1$ of the form

$$\tilde{\xi} = \{(\tau x, \tau^{-1} y, z) : -\mathrm{val}(x) \leq \mathrm{val}(\tau) < \mathrm{val}(y)\},$$

that is, an annulus definably isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(0, r) \setminus \mathcal{B}(0, r')$ for some $r, r' \in \mathbb{Q}$. For each $\tilde{\xi}$ in $\tilde{X}_1$, we shall denote by $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ and $\nu(\tilde{\xi})$, respectively, the valuative radii of the *biggest* and *smallest* closed balls which define the annulus $\tilde{\xi}$, i.e.

$$\mu(\tilde{\xi}) = \min_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathrm{val}(\xi) : \xi \in \tilde{\xi}\},\ \nu(\tilde{\xi}) = \sup_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathrm{val}(\xi) : \xi \in \tilde{\xi}\}.$$

Let us consider the boundedly represented functions $\phi : \tilde{X}_1 \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}[*])$ sending $\tilde{\xi}$ to $[\mathcal{B}(0, \mu(\tilde{\xi}))]$, and $\psi : \tilde{X}_1 \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}[*])$ sending $\tilde{\xi}$ to $[\mathcal{B}(0, \nu(\tilde{\xi}))]$. Defining a definable function $\ell : \tilde{X}_1 \to K_+^{df}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}[*])$ as the difference $\phi - \psi$, that is $\ell(\tilde{\xi}) = [\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})]$, we now arrive to consider the following integral

$$\int_{\tilde{X}_1} \ell = \int_{\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{X}_1} [\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})] = [X_1] \cdot [0]_1^{-d_3}$$

(see again the defintion of integration in Subsection 3.3). Note that for any $\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{X}_1$, for any $(x, y, z) \in \tilde{\xi}$, the number $\nu(\tilde{\xi}) - \mu(\tilde{\xi}) = \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y)$ depends only on $\tilde{\xi}$, not on the representative (x, y, z). Thus we can consider a definable function $\tilde{\lambda} : \tilde{X}_1 \to \mathbb{Q}$ defined by $\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\xi}) = \nu(\tilde{\xi}) - \mu(\tilde{\xi})$. Set $\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma} := \tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(\gamma) \subset \tilde{X}_1$. Composing $\tilde{\lambda}$ with $\rho : X_1 \to \tilde{X}_1$ yields a definable function $\lambda : X_1 \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho_\gamma : X_{1,\gamma} \to \tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}$ are compatible to ρ, i.e. $\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma} = X_{1,\gamma}/\mathbb{G}_{m,K^{\mathrm{alg}}}$, one has

$$\int_{\tilde{X}_1} \ell = \int_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}} \int_{\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}} [\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})].$$

If we fix a $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$, then all the annuli $\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})$, with $\tilde{\xi}$ varying in $\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}$, are definably isomorphic, since they have the same modulus $\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\xi}) = \gamma$. One deduces that

$$[X_1] \cdot [0]_1^{-d_3} = \int_{\tilde{X}_1} \ell = \int_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}} [\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}][\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})].$$

Then, by the Fubini theorem and by the fact that $\mathbb{HL}([0]_1) = 1$, one has

$$\mathbb{HL}([X_1]) = \mathbb{HL}\Big(\int_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}} [\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}][\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})]\Big) = \int_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{HL}([\tilde{X}_{1,\gamma}])\mathbb{HL}([\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})]).$$

We now compute $\mathbb{HL}([\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})])$. Note that the annulus $\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})$ can be written as the difference $\mathcal{B}(0,r) \setminus \mathcal{B}(0,r+\gamma)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence

$$\mathbb{HL}([\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})]) = \mathbb{HL}([\mathcal{B}(0,r)]) - \mathbb{HL}([\mathcal{B}(0,r+\gamma)]).$$

Write $r = p/q$ with $(p,q) = 1$. A simple computation gives that

$$\mathbb{HL}_n([\mathcal{B}(0,p/q)]) = \begin{cases} [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-mp+1} & \text{if } n = mq \\ 0 & \text{if } q \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{HL}([\mathcal{B}(0,p/q)]) &= -\lim_{T\to\infty} \sum_{m\geq 1} \mathbb{HL}_{mq}([\mathcal{B}(0,p/q)])T^{mq} \\ &= -\lim_{T\to\infty} \sum_{m\geq 1} [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa] \frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-p}T^q}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-p}T^q} = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]. \end{aligned}$$

This not depending on $r = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{HL}([\rho_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})]) = 0$, so $\mathbb{HL}([X_1]) = 0$.

6. SPECIAL FORMAL SCHEMES AND ASSOCIATED RIGID VARIETIES

From now on, we work over any non-archimedean complete discretely valued field K of equal characteristics zero, with valuation ring R, with $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of R, and with residue field $\kappa = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let us fix a uniformizing parameter ϖ in R, i.e., a generator of $\mathfrak{m}$. In Sections 8 and 9, we consider $K = \kappa((t))$ and $R = \kappa[[t]]$ with κ algebraically closed.

6.1. Special formal schemes.

A topological R-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *special* if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Noetherian adic ring such that, if $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of definition of $\mathcal{A}$, the quotient rings $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^n$, $n \geq 1$, are finitely generated over R. By [3], a topological R-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is special if and only if it is topologically R-isomorphic to a quotient of the special R-algebra $R\{T_1,\dots,T_n\}[[S_1,\dots,S_m]]$. An adic R-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *topologically finitely generated over R* if it is topologically R-isomorphic to a quotient algebra of the algebra of restricted power series $R\{T_1,\dots,T_n\}$. Evidently, any topologically finitely generated R-algebra is a special R-algebra. We refer to [3, Lem. 1.1] for a list of essential properties of R-special algebras.

A formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to be *special* if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a separated Noetherian adic formal scheme and if it is a finite union of affine formal schemes of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{A}$ a special R-algebras. A formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ is *topologically of finite type* if it is a finite union of affine formal schemes of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{A}$ topologically finitely generated R-algebras. It is a fact that the category of separated topologically of finite type formal R-schemes is a full subcategory of the category of R-special formal schemes, and both admit fiber products. On the other hand, a special formal R-scheme is separated topologically of finite type over R if it is R-adic. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a special formal R-scheme, any formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a special formal R-scheme. Furthermore, by [34], special formal R-schemes are excellent.

A morphism $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ of special formal R-schemes is called a morphism of *locally finite type* if locally it is isomorphic to a morphism of the form $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{B}$ topologically finitely generated over $\mathcal{A}$.

The following are some notations which will be used in the rest of this chapter. For $\mathfrak{X}$ a Noetherian adic formal scheme, we denote by $\mathfrak{X}_0$ the closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ defined by the largest ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$. Note that $\mathfrak{X}_0$ is a reduced Noetherian scheme, that the correspondance $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_0$ is functorial, and that the natural closed immersion $\mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}$ is a homeomorphism. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a special formal R-scheme, $\mathfrak{X}_0$ is a separated κ-scheme of finite type. We shall denote by $\mathfrak{X}_s$ the special fiber $\mathfrak{X} \times_R \kappa$ of $\mathfrak{X}$. By definition, $\mathfrak{X}_s$ is a formal κ-scheme. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is separated topologically of finite type then $\mathfrak{X}_s$ is a separated κ-scheme of finite type, and $\mathfrak{X}_0 = (\mathfrak{X}_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

6.2. **The generic fiber of a special formal scheme.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a special formal R-scheme. Then one can associate to $\mathfrak{X}$ a rigid K-variety denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ due to [4] or [7], this rigid variety is called the *generic fiber* of the formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$. The $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is separated, but in general, not quasi-compact. Furthermore, the correspondance $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is functorial, i.e. it defines a functor from the category of special formal R-schemes to the category of separated rigid K-varieties. A special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *generically smooth* if its generic fiber $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is smooth over K.

Because of working only on affine formal schemes we shall recall the explicit construction of generic fiber in this case, following [7], [32]. Now assume that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{A}$ a special R-algebra. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the largest ideal of definition of $\mathcal{A}$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, we put

$$\mathcal{A}[\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{J}^n] = \langle \mathcal{A} \cup \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{J}^n \rangle \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes_R K,$$

i.e. the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R K$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{J}^n$. If $\mathcal{B}_n$ denotes the $\mathcal{J}$-adic completion of $\mathcal{A}[\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{J}^n]$, then $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{B}_n \otimes_R K$ is an affinoid R-algebra. The inclusion $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subset \mathcal{J}^n$ induces a natural morphism of affinoid R-algebras $c_n : \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{C}_n$, and in its turn, c_n induces an embedding of affinoid K-spaces $\mathrm{Spm}(\mathcal{C}_n) \to \mathrm{Spm}(\mathcal{C}_{n+1})$. Then one defines

$$\mathfrak{X}_\eta = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Spm}(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

There is a specilization map $\mathrm{sp} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}$ defined as follows. Let $x \in \mathfrak{X}_\eta$, and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes_R K$ the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R K$ corresponding to x. Put $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathrm{sp}(x)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ containing ϖ and $\mathcal{I}'$. If Z is a locally closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{red}}$ then $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(Z)$ is an open rigid subvariety of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, which is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{X}_{/Z})_\eta$, the generic fiber of the formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ along Z (cf. [7, Sec. 7.1]). In particular, if Z is closed, defined by the ideal $(g_1, \ldots, g_s)$ of $\mathcal{A}$, then

$$\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(Z) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X}_\eta : |g_i(x)| < 1, i = 1, \ldots, s\}.$$

The construction of specialization map can be generalized to any special formal R-scheme (cf. [7]).

6.3. **Resolution of singularities of a special formal scheme.** Conrad in [6] introduces the notion of normalization of a special formal scheme, and Nicaise in [32] recalls the definition of irreducibility in formalism. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a special formal R-scheme, and $\mathfrak{n} : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathfrak{X}$ a normalization map (which is a finite morphism of special formal R-schemes). Then $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *irreducible* if the underlying topological space $|\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}| = |(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})_0|$ is connected. For any special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, we denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, the (topologically) connected components of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, and by $\mathfrak{n}_i$ the restriction of $\mathfrak{n}$ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_i$ denote the reduced closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ defined by the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to (\mathfrak{n}_i)_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i}$. Then $\mathfrak{X}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, are the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{X}$. In particular, the irreducible components of an affine special formal R-scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$ correspond to the minimal prime ideals of $\mathcal{A}$ (see [32, Lem. 2.29]).

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a *regular* special formal R-scheme, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}$ is regular for any $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, and $\mathfrak{E}$ a closed formal subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$. Recall from [32] that $\mathfrak{E}$ is a *strict normal crossings divisor* if, for each x in $\mathfrak{X}$, there exists a regular system of local parameters $(x_0, \ldots, x_m)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}$, such that, at x, the ideal defining $\mathfrak{E}$ is locally generated by $\prod_{j=0}^{m} x_j^{N_j}$ for some natural N_j, $j = 0, \ldots, m$, and such that the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{E}$ are regular. By [32, Lem.-Def. 2.36], if $\mathfrak{E}_i$ is an irreducible component of $\mathfrak{E}$ which is defined locally at x by the ideal $(x_i^{m_i})$, then the number m_i is constant when x varies on $\mathfrak{E}_i$. We call the natural number m_i the *multiplicity of* $\mathfrak{E}_i$ and denote it by $m(\mathfrak{E}_i)$. Then, if $\mathfrak{E}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{E}_r$ are the irreducible components of $\mathfrak{E}$, we can write $\mathfrak{E}$ as a Weil divisor as follows

$$\mathfrak{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m(\mathfrak{E}_i)\mathfrak{E}_i.$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth, flat special formal R-scheme. By definition, a *resolution of singularities* of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a proper morphism of flat special formal R-schemes $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$, such that $\mathfrak{h}$ induces an isomorphism on the generic fibers, $\mathfrak{Y}$ is regular with the special fiber $\mathfrak{Y}_s$ a strict normal crossings divisor. As seen in the following theorem, in the case characreristic zero, such a resolution of singularities of a generically smooth, flat special formal R-scheme does exist.

Theorem 6.1 ([34], Thm. 3.4.1 and [32], Prop. 2.43)**.** *Any generically smooth flat special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ admits a resolution of singularities. If, in addition, $\mathfrak{X}$ is affine, one can get the resolution of singularities by means of formal admissible blow-ups.*

Let us explain some terminologies in the previous theorem, following [32]. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Noetherian adic formal R-scheme, $\mathcal{J}$ an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $\mathcal{I}$ a coherent ideal sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}$. Then by definition, the formal blow-up of $\mathfrak{X}$ with center $\mathcal{I}$ is the morphism of formal schemes

$$\mathfrak{Y} := \varinjlim_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Proj}\big(\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{I}^m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{J}^n)\big) \to \mathfrak{X}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{Y}$ is an adic formal R-scheme, and that the ideal $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is invertible on $\mathfrak{Y}$. The blow-up $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies the following universal property: for each morphism of adic formal R-schemes $\mathfrak{h}' : \mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}'}$ is invertible, there exists a unique morphism of formal R-schemes $\theta : \mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \circ \theta$. Furthermore, the formal blow-up $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ commutes with flat base change, with the completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ along a closed subscheme $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$ as well (cf. [32, Prop. 2.16]).

Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a special formal R-scheme, and $\mathcal{I}$ is open with respect to the ϖ-adic topology, i.e. $\mathcal{I}$ contains a power of ϖ. Within this condition, the blow-up $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ with center $\mathcal{I}$ is called *admissible*. By [32, Cor. 2.17], if $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ is an admissible blow-up, $\mathfrak{Y}$ is a special formal R-scheme, and if, in addition, $\mathfrak{X}$ is R-flat, so is $\mathfrak{Y}$. Furthermore, the induced morphism of rigid K-varieties $\mathfrak{h}_\eta : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is an isomorphism (cf. [32, Prop. 2.19]).

Here is the definition of dilatation of a flat special formal scheme. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be such a formal R-scheme, and $\mathcal{I}$ a coherent ideal sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}$ containing ϖ. Let $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be the admissible blow-up with center $\mathcal{I}$. Then, if $\mathfrak{U}$ is the open formal subscheme of $\mathfrak{Y}$ where $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is generated by ϖ, we call $\mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{X}$ the *dilatation* of $\mathfrak{X}$ with center $\mathcal{I}$. Like admissible blow-ups, dilatations commute with flat base change, with the formal completion along closed subschemes (cf. [32, Prop. 2.21, 2.23]). Furthermore, by [32, Prop. 2.22], if $\mathcal{I}$ is open, $\mathfrak{U}$ is separated topologically of finite type.

6.4. **Motivic Milnor fiber of a formal function.** Let us consider a special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ with a non-constant formal function $\mathfrak{f}:\mathfrak{X}\to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ as the structural morphism. By Theorem 6.1, there exists a resolution of singularities of $\mathfrak{X}$. Fix such a resolution of singularities $\mathfrak{h}:\mathfrak{Y}\to\mathfrak{X}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}_i$, $i\in J$, be the irreducible components of divisor $\mathfrak{Y}_s=\mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_s)$. Assume that the divisor $\mathfrak{Y}_s$ is written as $\mathfrak{Y}_s=\sum_{i\in J}N_i\mathfrak{E}_i$. For nonempty $I\subset J$, we put

$$\mathfrak{E}_I=\bigcap_{i\in I}\mathfrak{E}_i,\quad \mathfrak{E}_I^\circ=\mathfrak{E}_I\setminus\bigcup_{j\notin I}\mathfrak{E}_j.$$

Let $E_i=(\mathfrak{E}_i)_0$, $i\in J$, and $E_I=\bigcap_{i\in I}E_i$, $E_I^\circ=E_I\setminus\bigcup_{j\notin I}E_j$, $\emptyset\neq I\subset J$. Then $E_I=(\mathfrak{E}_I)_0$ for any $\emptyset\neq I\subset J$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{X}$ is separated topologically of finite type, $E_i=\mathfrak{E}_i$, for any $i\in J$.

Let U be an affine Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{Y}_0$ such that $U\cap E_I^\circ\neq\emptyset$, and on $U\cap E_I^\circ$, $\mathfrak{f}_0\circ\mathfrak{h}_0=\tilde{u}\prod_{i\in I}y_i^{N_i}$, with $\tilde{u}$ a unit and y_i a local coordinate defining E_i. Let m_I denote the greatest common divisor of $(N_i)_{i\in I}$. Define an unramified Galois covering $\pi_I:\tilde{E}_I^\circ\to E_I^\circ$ with Galois group μ_{m_I} given over $U\cap E_I^\circ$ by

$$U\cap\tilde{E}_I^\circ:=\{(z,y)\in\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1\times(U\cap E_I^\circ)|z^{m_I}=\tilde{u}(y)^{-1}\}.$$

Choose a covering of E_I° consisting of such open subsets $U\cap E_I^\circ$, then the Galois coverings $U\cap\tilde{E}_I^\circ$ over $U\cap E_I^\circ$ can be then glued together in an obvious way to a covering $\tilde{E}_I^\circ$, which has a natural μ_{m_I}-action (obtained by multiplying the z-coordinate with elements of μ_{m_I}). The μ_{m_I}-action induces a good $\hat{\mu}$-action over E_I° (see [8, 11], [5]). Then the $\hat{\mu}$-equivariant morphism $\mathfrak{h}_0\circ\pi_I:\tilde{E}_I^\circ\to\mathfrak{X}_0$ defines a class $[\tilde{E}_I^\circ]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

The *motivic Milnor fiber* $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}$ *of the formal function* $\mathfrak{f}:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}:=\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}(1-[\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^1])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ]. \tag{12}$$

It is an element of the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$. Let us explain why the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}$ is independent of the choice of resolution of singularities of $\mathfrak{X}$. Observe that after taking the reduction of a formal κ-scheme, the above construction of the Galois covering with Galois group μ_{m_I} is exactly the construction of Denef-Loeser in [12]. In other words, Denef-Loeser [12] use resolution of singularities to formulate an expression of the motivic Milnor fiber of a regular function, while base on the resolution of singularities of a special formal scheme $(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{f})$ we use that formula to define the motivic Milnor fiber of $\mathfrak{f}$. Therefore, also as in Denef-Loeser [12], the formula 12 does not depend on a particular resolution of singularities of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}_0$. Then we can define the motivic Milnor fiber $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$ of the formal function $\mathfrak{f}$ at $\mathbf{x}$ to be $(\{\mathbf{x}\}\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{X}_0)^*\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}$. This definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$ is compatible with that of $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}$ in the following sense. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbf{x}}$ be the formal completion of $\mathfrak{X}$ along $\mathbf{x}$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_\mathbf{x}:\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathbf{x}}\to\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ be the formal function induced from $\mathfrak{f}:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathrm{Spf}(R)$. Then the above definition can be applied for $\mathfrak{f}_\mathbf{x}$, and we have

$$(\{\mathbf{x}\}\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{X}_0)^*\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{f}=\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f}_\mathbf{x}}=\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}(1-[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ\cap\mathfrak{h}_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x})].$$

The motive $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{f},\mathbf{x}}$ is an element of the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^{\hat{\mu}}$.

7. Motivic integration on formal R-schemes

7.1. **The Greenberg functor.** The main reference for this subsection is [13], we can see also [26, 33].

For $n \geq 0$, let $R_n := R/(\varpi^{n+1})$. In [13], Greenberg showed that, for any R_n-scheme $\mathcal{X}_n$ topologically of finite type, the functor $\mathcal{Y} \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{R_n}(\mathcal{Y} \times_\kappa R_n, \mathcal{X}_n)$ from the category of κ-schemes to the category of sets is presented by a κ-scheme $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$ topologically of finite type such that, for every κ-algebra A,

$$\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)(A) = \mathcal{X}_n(A \otimes_\kappa R_n).$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a formal R-scheme quasi-compact, separated, topologically of finite type. Then it can be considered as the inductive limit of the R_n-schemes $\mathcal{X}_n = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_R R_n)$ in the category of formal R-schemes. The canonical truncation morphisms $R_{n+1} \to R_n$ induce canonical morphisms of κ-schemes

$$\theta_n^{n+1} : \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$$

for every natural n. This follows that there is a canonical way to associate the formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ to a projective system $(\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the category of separated κ-schemes of finite type. The morphisms θ_n^{n+1} being affine, the projective limit $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ of the system $(\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ exists in the category of κ-schemes. Notice that we shall write $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathfrak{X})$ for $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$ from now on. The following lemma is useful for Subsection 7.2.

Lemma 7.1 (Greenberg [13]). *The functor* Gr *respects open and closed immersions and fiber products, and it sends affine topologically of finite type formal R-schemes to affine κ-schemes.*

For a formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ quasi-compact, separated, topologically of finite type, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\pi_{n,\mathfrak{X}}$, or simply π_n, the canonical projection $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$. By [33], the image $\pi_n(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}))$ of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$ is a constructible subset of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$. If, in addition, $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth and of relative dimension d, then by [33, Lem. 3.4.2],

- the morphism $\pi_n : \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$ is surjective, and
- the canonical projection $\mathrm{Gr}_{n+m}(\mathcal{X}_{n+m}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathcal{X}_n)$ is a locally trivial fibration for the Zariski topology with fiber $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{dm}$.

We refer to [33, Subsec. 4.2] for the definition of piecewise trivial fibration, which is mentioned in the following

Proposition 7.2 (Sebag [33], Lem. 4.3.25). *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a quasi-compact separated flat topologically of finite type formal R-scheme of relative dimension d. There is an integer $c \geq 1$ such that, for $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq ce$, the projection $\pi_{n+1}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})) \to \pi_n(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}))$ is a piecewise trivial fibration over $\pi_n(\mathrm{Gr}^{(e)}(\mathfrak{X}))$ with fiber $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^d$, here $\mathrm{Gr}^{(e)}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}) \setminus \pi_e^{-1}(\mathrm{Gr}_e(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{sing},e}))$.*

7.2. **Loeser-Sebag's motivic integration.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a separated quasi-compact formal R-scheme topologically of finite type. By definition, a subset A of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ is *cylindrical of level* $n \geq 0$ if $A = \pi_n^{-1}(C)$ with C a constructible subset of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathfrak{X})$. Denote by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the set of cylindrical subsets of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ of some level. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a Boolean algebra, and it is stable by finite intersection, finite union and by taking complements. If A is cylindrical of some level, then $\pi_n(A)$ is constructible for any $n \geq 0$ (cf. [26]).

Assume in addition that $\mathfrak{X}$ is flat and of relative dimension d. A cylinder A of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ is called *stable of level* n if it is cylindrical of level n, and if for every $m \geq n$ the morphism $\pi_{m+1}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})) \to \pi_m(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}))$ is a piecewise trivial fibration over $\pi(A)$ with fiber $\mathbb{A}_k^d$. Note that if $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth, every cylinder in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ is stable. We shall denote by $\mathbf{C}_{0,\mathfrak{X}}$ the set of stable cylindrical subsets of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ of some level. In general $\mathbf{C}_{0,\mathfrak{X}}$ is not a Boolean algebra, but it is an ideal of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Proposition 7.3. *There exists a unique additive morphism*

$$\tilde{\mu} : \mathbf{C}_{0,\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$$

such that $\tilde{\mu}(A) = [\pi_n(A)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-(n+1)d}$ *for* A *a stable cylinder of level* n.

Proof. Let A be a stable cylinder of level n of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$. By Proposition 7.2, the map $\theta_n^m|_{\pi_m(A)} : \pi_m(A) \to \pi_n(A)$ is a piecewise trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{(m-n)d}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7.1, the functor Gr respects fiber products. Therefore one has an identity in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ as follows

$$[\pi_m(A)] = [\pi_n(A)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{(m-n)d},$$

where $[\pi_n(A)]$ is the class in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ of the morphism $\pi_n(A) \to \mathfrak{X}_0$ induced from the natural morphism $\mathrm{Gr}_n(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_0(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{X}_0$. It follows that

$$[\pi_m(A)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-(m+1)d} = [\pi_n(A)][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-(n+1)d}$$

for any $m \geq n$. Since A is a stable cylinder of level n, it is stable of level $m \geq n$, thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is well defined. The additivity of $\tilde{\mu}$ is obvious by definition. □

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ denote the completion of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ with respect to a filtration $F^{\cdot}$ whose m-piece $F^m\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ generated by $[S][\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-i}$ with $\dim(S) - i \leq -m$. As similarly explained in [26][3], one can extend $\tilde{\mu}$ to a unique additive morphism $\mu : \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ with the property that $\mu(A) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \tilde{\mu}(A \cap \mathrm{Gr}^{(e)}(\mathfrak{X}))$, where the limit on the right side exists in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ according to [26, Prop. 3.6.2(1)]. Furthermore, consider a larger class $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ containing $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ of *measurable* subsets of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$. By a measurable subset A of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ we mean for every positive real number ϵ there exists a sequence of cylindrical subsets $A_i(\epsilon)$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ with the symmetric difference $A \cup A_0(\epsilon) \setminus A \cap A_0(\epsilon)$ contained in $\bigcup_{i\geq 1} A_i(\epsilon)$ and $\|\mu(A_i(\epsilon))\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then, once again, μ can be extended to a unique additive morphism $\mu : \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ such that $\mu(A) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mu(A_0(\epsilon))$ for any sequence $A_i(\epsilon)$ in the definition of measurability of A (see [26, Def. 3.7.1, Thm. 3.7.2]).

For a measurable subset A of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$ and a function $\alpha : A \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ we say that $[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\alpha}$ is *integrable* or that α is *exponential integrable* if the fibers of α are measurable and if the following sum (motivic integral) converges in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$

$$\int_A [\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\alpha} d\mu := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu(\alpha^{-1}(n))[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-n}.$$

If all the fibers $\alpha^{-1}(n)$ are stable cylinders and α takes only a finite number of values on A, we can use the restriction $\tilde{\mu}$ instead of μ, and the motivic integral then takes values in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$. In this case, we shall denote the integral by $\int_A [\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\alpha} d\tilde{\mu}$.

---

[3] In [26], the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined to take values in the ring $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$.

7.3. **Integral of a differential form.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a flat, quasi-compact, separated, topologically of finite type generically smooth formal R-scheme equidimensional of relative dimension d, let ω be a differential form in $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R}(\mathfrak{X})$. Assume x is a point of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})\setminus\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{sing}})$ defined over some field extension κ' of κ. Let $R'=R\widehat{\otimes}_\kappa\kappa'$, and let $\varphi:\mathrm{Spf}(R')\to\mathfrak{X}$ be the morphism of formal R-schemes corresponding to x. Since $L:=(\varphi^*\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R})/(\text{torsion})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{R'}$-module of rank 1, its submodule M generated by $\varphi^*\omega$ is either zero or ϖ^nL for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(\omega)(x)$ is defined to be ∞ or n, respectively.

Because of a canonical isomorphism $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)\cong\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R}(\mathfrak{X})\otimes_R K$, one can write a differential form ω in $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)$ as a product $\omega=\varpi^{-n}\tilde{\omega}$ with $\tilde{\omega}\in\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then we set $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega):=\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(\tilde{\omega})-n$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{\omega}$. Let ω be a differential form in $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)$. By [26, Thm.-Def. 4.1.2], the function $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)$ is exponentially integrable on $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})$, so we can define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}}|\omega|:=\int_{\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})}[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)}d\mu\in\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}.$$

Following [29], by a *weak formal Néron model* of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ we mean a smooth formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{Y}$ topologically of finite type such that $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta$ is an open rigid subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and the canonical maps $\mathfrak{Y}(\tilde{R})\to\mathfrak{X}_\eta(\tilde{K})$ are bijective for any finite unramified extension $\tilde{K}$ of K, $\tilde{R}$ is the normalization of R in $\tilde{K}$. By [26, Prop. 2.7.3] there exists a formal model $\mathfrak{X}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ whose R-smooth locus is a weak formal Néron model of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. Let ω be a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. Since $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)\cong\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R}(\mathfrak{X})\otimes_R K$, one can write $\omega=\varpi^{-n}\tilde{\omega}$ with $\tilde{\omega}\in\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}|R}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and we put $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega):=\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(\tilde{\omega})-n$, this definition is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\omega}$ due to [26, Subsec. 4.1]. Assume that some open dense formal subscheme $\mathfrak{Y}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a weak formal Néron model of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. Then, since $\mathfrak{Y}$ is smooth, $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{Y}|R}$ is locally free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$, i.e. there is a open covering $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{Y}$ such that $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{Y}|R}(\mathfrak{U}_i)$ is free of rank 1. Therefore, for every i, there is an f_i in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{U}_i)$ such that $\tilde{\omega}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{U}_i)\otimes(\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{Y}|R}(\mathfrak{Y}))^{-1}\cong(f_i)\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{U}_i)$. It implies that the restriction of the function $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(\tilde{\omega})$ to $\mathfrak{U}_i$ is equal to the function $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(f_i)$ which assigns $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(f_i(\varphi))$ to a point $\varphi\in\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{U}_i)$. Let f be the global section in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{U})$ such that $f=f_i$ on $\mathfrak{U}_i$. Then, by glueing $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(f_i)$'s altogether, we obtain a function $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(f):\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})=\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{Y})\to\mathbb{Z}$ which is equal to $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(\tilde{\omega})$. Since ω is a gauge form, f induces an invertible function on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, hence by the maximum principle $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi(f)$ takes only a finite number of values (see [26], the proof of 4.1.2). Therefore $\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)$ takes only a finite number of values and its fibers are stable cylinders. In this case, we put

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}}|\omega|:=\int_{\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{X})}[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\varpi,\mathfrak{X}}(\omega)}d\tilde{\mu}\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}.$$

Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the Néron model.

7.4. **Motivic integration on special formal schemes.** In the context of working on special formal schemes, we shall use a stronger notion than weak Néron model, it is called Néron smoothening. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a special formal R-scheme. By a *Néron smoothening* for $\mathfrak{X}$ we mean a morphism of special formal R-schemes $\mathfrak{Y}\to\mathfrak{X}$, with $\mathfrak{Y}$ adic smooth over R, which induces an open embedding $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta\to\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ satisfying $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta(\tilde{K})=\mathfrak{X}_\eta(\tilde{K})$ for any finite unramified extension $\tilde{K}$ of K.

Proposition 7.4 (Nicaise [32])**.** *Any generically smooth special formal R-schemes $\mathfrak{X}$ admits a Néron smoothening $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$. Moreover, we can choose $\mathfrak{Y}$ to be a quasi-compact, separated, topologically of finite type generically smooth formal R-scheme.*

In particular, if in addition $\mathfrak{X}$ is flat and $h : \mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{X}$ is the dilatation with center $\mathfrak{X}_s$, then $\mathfrak{Y}'$ is a separated, flat, topologically of finite type generically smooth formal R-scheme (cf. [32]). Hence we can choose a Néron smoothening $\mathfrak{Y}$ for $\mathfrak{X}$ to be a Néron smoothening for $\mathfrak{Y}'$ (in the way of [29, Thm. 3.2]).

In [32], Nicaise defines motivic integral on special formal R-schemes in the following way. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth, flat, special formal R-scheme, and $h : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be the dilatation with center $\mathfrak{X}_s$. If ω be a differential form of maximal degree (resp. a gauge form) on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, then one defines

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| := \int_{\mathfrak{Y}} |\omega| \text{ in } \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \text{ (resp. in } \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}) \tag{13}$$

(the integrals on the right were already defined in Subsection 7.3). If $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth, special formal R-scheme, we denote by $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{flat}}$ its maximal flat closed subscheme (obtained by killing ϖ-torsion), and define

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| := \int_{\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{flat}}} |\omega|. \tag{14}$$

The following proposition gives an equivalent definition of integral on special formal R-schemes $\mathfrak{X}$ using a Néron smoothening for $\mathfrak{X}$.

Proposition 7.5 (Nicaise [32], Prop. 4.7, 4.8)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal R-scheme, and $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ a Néron smoothening for $\mathfrak{X}$. If ω is a differential form of maximal degree (resp. a gauge form) on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, then*

(i) *the identity $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| = \int_{\mathfrak{Y}} |\omega|$ holds in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ (resp. in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$);*

(ii) *the image of $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega|$ under the forgetful $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa$) only depends on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, not on $\mathfrak{X}$. We shall denote it by $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega|$.*

7.5. **Motivic integration on bounded smooth rigid varieties.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal R-scheme. We consider the forgetful morphisms $\widehat{\int}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa$ and $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa$. By Proposition 7.5, if ω is a differential form in $\Omega^d_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(\mathfrak{X}_\eta)$, then $\widehat{\int}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \big(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| \big)$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa$ only depends on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, not on $\mathfrak{X}$. We define the integral on such type of rigid K-varieties as follows

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega| := \widehat{\int}_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| \Big) \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa.$$

Similarly, if ω is a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, the image $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \big(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| \big)$ in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ only depend on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and not on $\mathfrak{X}$, so put

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} |\omega| := \int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| \Big) \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa.$$

The previous type of rigid K-varieties (i.e. generic fiber of a generically smooth special formal scheme) is in fact a particular case of bounded rigid K-varieties, mentioned in Nicaise-Sebag [30]. By definition, a rigid K-variety X is *bounded* if there exists a quasi-compact open subspace Y of X such that $Y(\tilde{K}) = X(\tilde{K})$ for any finite unramified extension $\tilde{K}$ of K. On a quasi-compact smooth rigid variety the motivic integral was already defined by Loeser-Sebag [26], and inspired by this, Nicaise-Sebag [30] extend the notion to bounded smooth rigid K-varieties. If the previous X is smooth, so is Y, and one can define $\int_X |\omega| := \int_Y |\omega|$. In general, the $\int_X |\omega|$ lives in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa$, however if ω is a gauge form, the $\int_X |\omega|$ is in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$. The integral is well defined, due to [30, Prop. 5.9].

Given a natural d. Let GBSRig_K^d be the category of gauged bounded smooth rigid K-varieties of dimension d, i.e. each object of GBSRig_K^d is a pair (X,ω) with X a bounde smooth rigid K-variety of dimension d and ω a gauge form on X, and each morphism $h : (X',\omega') \to (X,\omega)$ in GBSRig_K^d is a morphism of bounded smooth rigid K-varieties $h : X' \to X$ such that $h^*\omega = \omega'$. The Grothendieck group $K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K^d)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by symbols $[X,\omega]$ with (X,ω) in $\mathrm{ObGBSRig}_K^d$ by the relations $[X',\omega'] = [X,\omega]$ if $(X',\omega') \cong (X,\omega)$ in GBSRig_K^d, and

$$[X,\omega] = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} (-1)^{|I|-1}[O_I, \omega|_{O_I}],$$

whenever $(O_i)_{i\in J}$ is a finite admissible covering of X, $O_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} O_i$ for any $I \subset J$. One puts

$$K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K) := \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K^d)$$

and defines a product on it as follows $[X,\omega]\cdot[X',\omega'] := [X\times X', \omega\times\omega']$. Together with this product, the Grothendieck group $K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K)$ becomes a ring.

Proposition 7.6. *There is a unique ring homomorphism* $\Phi : K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K) \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa$ *such that* $\Phi([X,\omega]) = \int_X |\omega|$.

Proof. For $[X,\omega]$ in $K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K)$, we set $\Phi([X,\omega]) = \int_X |\omega|$. The additivity of Φ is clear by the definition of $\int_X |\omega|$ and [26, Prop. 4.2.1]. Let $[X,\omega]$ and $[X',\omega']$ be in $K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K)$. If Y and Y' are quasi-compact smooth rigid K-varieties such that $Y(\tilde{K}) = X(\tilde{K})$ and $Y(\tilde{K}) = X(\tilde{K})$ for any finite unramified extension $\tilde{K}$ of K, then so is $(Y\times Y')(\tilde{K}) = (X\times X')(\tilde{K})$, since $(Y\times Y')(\tilde{K}) = Y(\tilde{K})\times Y'(\tilde{K})$ and $(X\times X')(\tilde{K}) = X(\tilde{K})\times X'(\tilde{K})$. One deduces from definition and [26, Prop. 4.2.1] that

$$\int_{X\times X'} |\omega\times\omega'| = \int_{Y\times Y'} |\omega\times\omega'| = \int_Y |\omega| \cdot \int_{Y'} |\omega'| = \int_X |\omega| \cdot \int_{X'} |\omega'|.$$

This finishes the proof. □

7.6. **Volume Poincaré series.**

Notation 7.7. For $m \geq 1$, let $K(m) := K[T]/(T^m - \varpi)$ be a totally ramified extension of degree m of K, and $R(m) := R[T]/(T^m - \varpi)$ the normalization of R in $K(m)$. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a formal R-scheme, we define $\mathfrak{X}(m) := \mathfrak{X}\times_R R(m)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m) := \mathfrak{X}_\eta \times_K K(m)$. If ω is a differential form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, we denote by $\omega(m)$ the pullback of ω via the natural morphism $\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m) \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal R-scheme, ω a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. The volume Poincaré series of $(\mathfrak{X},\omega)$ is defined to be an element of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}[[T]]$ as

$$S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T) := \sum_{m\geq 1}\left(\int_{\mathfrak{X}(m)} |\omega(m)|\right)T^m. \tag{15}$$

In general, by [32, Rmk. 4.10], the volume Poincaré series $S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)$ depends on the choice of ϖ, i.e. on the K-fields $K(m)$. In the case κ algebraically closed, however, $K(m)$ is the unique extension of degree m of K, up to K-isomorphism, thus $S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)$ is independent of the choice of ϖ.

Remark 7.8. Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a generically smooth special formal R-scheme and ω is a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. Then the image of $S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)$ under the forgetful morphism

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}[[T]] \to \mathcal{M}_\kappa[[T]]$$

only depends on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, not on $\mathfrak{X}$ (by Proposition 7.5), and we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0} \big(S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)\big) = \sum_{m\geq 1} \Phi\big([\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m),\omega(m)]\big)T^m \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa[[T]].$$

Proposition 7.9 (Nicaise [32], Prop. 4.11, Cor. 4.12)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal R-scheme, U a locally closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}_0$. Let $i_{U,\mathfrak{X}_0}$ denote the embedding $U \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$, and $\mathfrak{U} := \mathfrak{X}_{/U}$. If ω is a gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, then*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{U}} |\omega| = i^*_{U,\mathfrak{X}_0} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega|$$

and

$$S(\mathfrak{U},\omega;T) = i^*_{U,\mathfrak{X}_0} S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T).$$

Moreover, if $(U_i)_{i\in J}$ is a finite stratification of $\mathfrak{X}_0$ into locally closed subsets, and $\mathfrak{U}_i = \mathfrak{X}_{/U_i}$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} |\omega| = \sum_{i\in J} \int_{\mathfrak{U}_i} |\omega|.$$

and

$$S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T) = \sum_{i\in J} S(\mathfrak{U}_i,\omega;T).$$

Let us now recall some results obtained by Nicaise in [32] that are crucial for proving Theorem 1.3. These are in fact the extension of corresponding works in [29]. We remark that the notion of $\mathfrak{X}$-boundedness and that of universal boundedness of a differential form are technical and they do not appear in the most essential formula (the formula of motivic volume) which we use. Thus the recall of these will be ignored, but the reader can see them in [32, Def. 2.11, Def. 7.38] if prefering. As shown in [32, Lem. 7.42], in the affine case, where the proof of Theorem 1.3 concerns, these two notions are the same. Also by this reason, we shall not recall the definition of the order $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\omega)$ of a gauge form ω along a divisor $\mathfrak{C}$, but refer to Nicaise [32, Def. 7.7]. The following are consequences of Nicaise [32, Thm. 7.12].

Corollary 7.10. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a generically smooth special formal R-scheme, of pure relative dimension d. Let $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be a resolution of singularities with special fiber $\mathfrak{Y}_s = \sum_{i\in J} N_i \mathfrak{E}_i$. Let ω be a $\mathfrak{X}$-bounded gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and $\mu_i = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{E}_i}(\omega)$ for $i \in J$. The definition of $\tilde{E}_I^\circ$, for $\emptyset \neq I \subset J$, is given in Section 6.4. Then for any natural $m \geq 1$, $\int_{\mathfrak{X}(m)} |\omega(m)|$ is equal in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ to*

$$[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-d} \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J} ([\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]-1)^{|I|-1} [\tilde{E}_I^\circ] \left(\sum_{\substack{k_i\geq 1, i\in I \\ \sum_{i\in I} k_i N_i = m}} [\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\sum_{i\in I} k_i\mu_i} \right).$$

Corollary 7.11 (Nicaise [32], Cor. 7.13)**.** *With the same hypotheses as the previous Corollary 7.10, the following identity holds in* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}[[T]]$*:*

$$S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)=[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-d}\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}([\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]-1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ]\prod_{i\in I}\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\mu_i}T^{N_i}}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-\mu_i}T^{N_i}}.$$

The limit $-\lim_{T\to\infty}S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)$ is well-defined and indenpendent of the choice of ω, namely

$$-\lim_{T\to\infty}S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T)=[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}]^{-d}\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0}])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}_I^\circ]\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$$

(see [32, Prop. 7.36]). Therefore, if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a generically smooth special formal R-scheme of pure relative dimension such that $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ admits a $\mathfrak{X}$-bounded gauge form, one puts

$$S(\mathfrak{X},\widehat{K^s}):=-\lim_{T\to\infty}S(\mathfrak{X},\omega;T),\tag{16}$$

where ω is any $\mathfrak{X}$-bounded gauge form on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, and calls it *motivic volume* of $\mathfrak{X}$. As shown in [31], in fact, $S(\mathfrak{X},\widehat{K^s})$ can be defined without the condidtion that $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ admits a $\mathfrak{X}$-bounded gauge form (cf. [32, Prop.-Def. 7.38]).

Corollary 7.12. *Let* $\mathfrak{X}$ *be a generically smooth special formal* R*-scheme,* U *a locally closed subscheme of* $\mathfrak{X}_0$*, and* $\mathfrak{U}=\mathfrak{X}_{/U}$*. Then one has*

$$S(\mathfrak{U},\widehat{K^s})=i^*_{U,\mathfrak{X}_0}S(\mathfrak{X},\widehat{K^s}).$$

Proof. The corollary follows from defintion (16) and Proposition 7.9. □

Recalling, we denote the forgetful morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}\to\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ by $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$.

Proposition 7.13 (Nicaise [32], Prop.-Def. 7.43)**.** *Assume* $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a generically smooth special formal* R*-scheme such that* $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ *admits a universally bounded gauge form* ω*. Then the image* $\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0}\big(S(\mathfrak{X},\widehat{K^s})\big)$ *only depends on* $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$*.*

One then puts $S(\mathfrak{X}_\eta,\widehat{K^s}):=\int_{\mathfrak{X}_0}\big(S(\mathfrak{X},\widehat{K^s})\big)$ and calls it the *motivic volume* of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. In fact, if $\mathfrak{X}$ is any formal R-model for $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$, any universally bounded gauge form ω on $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is $\mathfrak{X}$-bounded, and we have

$$S(\mathfrak{X}_\eta,\widehat{K^s})=-\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq1}\Phi\big([\mathfrak{X}_\eta(m),\omega(m)]\big)T^m\tag{17}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ (see [32, Prop.-Def. 7.43]).

Let $K(\mathrm{BSRig}_K)$ be the Grothendieck ring of the category BSRig_K of bounded smooth rigid K-varieties. It is obtained from $K(\mathrm{GBSRig}_K)$ in Proposition 7.6 by forgetting gauge forms.

Proposition 7.14. *There exists a unique group hohomorphism*

$$\mathtt{MV}:K(\mathrm{BSRig}_K)\to\mathcal{M}_\kappa$$

with the property

$$\mathtt{MV}([X])=-\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq1}\Phi\big([X(m),\omega(m)]\big)T^m,\tag{18}$$

where ω *is some universally bounded gauge form on* X*. In particular, if* $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a generically smooth special formal* R*-scheme, we have* $\mathtt{MV}([\mathfrak{X}_\eta])=S(\mathfrak{X}_\eta,\widehat{K^s})$*.*

Proof. The morphism $\mathtt{MV}$ is defined by (18). That $\mathtt{MV}$ is a group homomorphism follows from Proposition 7.6, while the latter comes from Proposition 7.9 and Corollary 7.11 or from (17). □

8. The case of an algebraically closed field

As noticed in [16], the theory of algebraically closed valued fields ACVF is also valid for rigid varieties as well as the rigid analytic expansions introduced by Lipshitz [25]. Let us denote by $\mathrm{ACVF}_{\mathbb{Q}}^R(0,0)$ such theories.

8.1. **Generalized measured categories.** We take care of more general volume forms than those in Section 3. Namely, we consider the category $\mu\mathrm{VF}[*]$ of A-definable sets with definable volume forms up to RV-equivalence, the category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[*]$ of A-definable sets with definable volume forms up to Γ-equivalence, and others. The main reference for these is [16, 17]. Let n be a positive natural number. By definition, an object in $\mu\mathrm{VF}[n]$ is a triple (X,f,α) with X a definable subset of $\mathrm{VF}^n\times\mathrm{RV}^m$, for some natural m, $f:X\to\mathrm{VF}^n$ a finite-to-one map, and $\alpha:X\to\mathrm{RV}$ a definable map. A morphism of $\mu\mathrm{VF}[n]$, say, $(X,f,\alpha)\to(X',f',\alpha')$, is a definable essential bijection $F:X\to X'$ such that for almost every $x\in X$,

$$\alpha(x)=\alpha'(F(x))\cdot\mathrm{rv}(\mathrm{Jcb}F(x)),$$

i.e., a *measure preserving* morphism. Notice that the category volVF in Section 3 is just the full subcategory of $\mu\mathrm{VF}$ with the objects $(X,f,1)$. Also, an object of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[n]$ is a triple (X,f,α) with (X,f) as previous and $\alpha:X\to\Gamma$ a definable function; a morphism $(X,f,\alpha)\to(X',f',\alpha')$ is a definable essential bijection $F:X\to X'$ such that for almost every $x\in X$,

$$\alpha(x)=\alpha'(F(x))+\mathrm{val}(\mathrm{Jcb}F(x)),$$

i.e., a Γ-*measure preserving* morphism. We also consider the category $\mu\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ which is the full subcategory of $\mu\mathrm{VF}[n]$ whose objects are bounded definable sets with bounded definable forms α, and the category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ defined similarly. We note that the category volVF (resp. $\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$) in Section 3 is the full subcategory of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}$ (resp. $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$) consisting of the objects of the form $(X,f,0)$.

Now recall the definition of $\mu\mathrm{RV}[n]$ and $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$ from [16]. The objects of $\mu\mathrm{RV}[n]$ are triples (X,f,α) with X a definable subset of RV^{n+m}, for some natural m, $f:X\to\mathrm{RV}^n$ a finite-to-one map, and $\alpha:X\to\mathrm{RV}$ a definable map. A morphism $(X,f,\alpha)\to(X',f',\alpha')$ is a definable bijection $F:X\to X'$ such that for almost all $x\in X$,

$$\alpha(x)=\alpha'(F(x))\cdot\mathrm{rv}(\mathrm{Jcb}_{\mathrm{RV}}F(x)),$$

and for all $x\in X$,

$$\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}\alpha(x)+\sum_{i=1}^n\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}f_i(x)=\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}\alpha'(F(x))+\sum_{i=1}^n\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}f_i'(F(x)).$$

Here "almost all" means that it holds for all except a set Y with $\dim_{\mathrm{RV}}f(Y)<n$. The objects of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$ are triples (X,f,α) with (X,f) as previous and $\alpha:X\to\Gamma$ a definable function. A morphism $(X,f,\alpha)\to(X',f',\alpha')$ is a definable bijection $F:X\to X'$ such that for all $x\in X$,

$$\alpha(x)+\sum_{i=1}^n\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}f_i(x)=\alpha'(F(x))+\sum_{i=1}^n\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}f_i'(F(x)).$$

The category $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}[n]$ is the full subcategory of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$ with objects (X,f,α) satisfying $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(X)$ finite.

By [16], the category $\mu\Gamma[n]$ consists of objects which are pairs (Δ,l), where $\Delta\in\mathrm{Ob}\Gamma[n]$ and $l:\Delta\to\Gamma$ is a definable map. A morphism $(\Delta,l)\to(\Delta',l')$ in $\mu\Gamma[n]$ is a definable bijection $h:\Delta\to\Delta'$ which is liftable to a definable bijection $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}\Delta\to\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}\Delta'$ such that $|x|+l(x)=|h(x)|+l'(h(x))$. Here, for $x\in\Gamma^n$, $|x|=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. In particular, $\mathrm{vol}\Gamma[n]$ is the full subcategory of $\mu\Gamma[n]$ defined as above with $l=0$. The category $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$ is the full subcategory of $\mu\Gamma[n]$ such that, for each $\Delta\in\mathrm{Ob}\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$, there exists a $\gamma\in\Gamma$ with $\Delta\subset[\gamma,\infty)^n$. The category $\mu\Gamma[*]$ (resp. $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$) is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\mu\Gamma[n]$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{n\geq1}\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n]$).

The categories $\mu\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$, $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ and so on, are defined in the same way as previous. For notational simplicity, we sometimes omit the notation of structural map f in the triple (X,f,α) when it was clear.

8.2. **Some morphisms between Grothendieck rings.** Similarly as in Section 4, the lifting map $\mathbb{L}:\mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]\to\mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}[n]$ is defined as follows. For any (X,f,α) in $\mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}[n]$, we put $\mathbb{L}(X,f,\alpha)=(\mathbb{L}X,\mathbb{L}f,\mathbb{L}\alpha)$, where $\mathbb{L}X=X\times_{f,\mathrm{rv}}(\mathrm{VF}^\times)^n$, $\mathbb{L}f(a,b)=f(a,\mathrm{rv}(b))$ and $\mathbb{L}\alpha(a,b)=\alpha(a,\mathrm{rv}(b))$. This map induces a canonical morphism of semi-rings

$$\int : K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])\to K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[n])/I'_{\mathrm{sp}},$$

where I'_{sp} is the congruence generated by $[1]_1=[\mathrm{RV}^{>0}]_1$ with the constant volume form 0 in Γ

Let $\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{fin}}[*]$ be the full subcategory of $\mu\Gamma[*]$ whose objects are finite sets. There exists a natural map of Grothendieck semi-rings

$$K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}[*])\otimes_{\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{fin}}[*]}K_+\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])\to K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]).$$

Namely, it is built from the obvious morphisms $K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}[*])\to K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ (induced by the inclusion) and $K_+\mu\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])\to K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ (defined by $\Delta\mapsto\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\Delta)$). By [16, Prop. 10.10], this natural morphism is an isomorphism of rings. Thus an element of $K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ can be written as a finite sum

$$\sum[(X\times\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\Delta),f,\alpha)].$$

An argument in the proof of [16, Prop. 10.10] also shows that

$$[(X\times\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}^{-1}(\Delta),f,\alpha)]=[(X,f_0,1)]\otimes[(\Delta,l)],$$

where $f_0:X\to\mathrm{RV}^n$ and $l:\Delta^n\to\Delta$ are some definable functions. By this, in order to construct morphisms from $K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ to $!K(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1}]$ similarly as in Subsection 4.2, it suffices to define $a_m(\Delta,l)$ and $b_m(X,f,1)$.

Let m be a positive natural number. We shall construct a morphism h_m generalizing the h_m in Subsection 4.2. We consider an object (Δ,l) of $\mu\Gamma$, say, $\Delta\subset\Gamma^n$ and $l:\Delta\to\Gamma$ a definable function. Define $\Delta(m):=\Delta\cap(1/m\mathbb{Z})^n$. For $e\in\Gamma$, denote $\Delta_e=l^{-1}(e)$. Put

$$a_m(\Delta,l)=\sum_{e\in\Gamma}\sum_{\gamma\in\Delta_e(m)}[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-m(|\gamma|+e)}(1-[\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-1})^n, \tag{19}$$

where each e-term is immediately equal to zero if $me\notin\mathbb{N}$. It is stated that a_m is well defined, i.e. it does not depend on the coordinates of Γ^n. Indeed, by definition of $\mu\Gamma$, if h is a morphism in the category $\mu\Gamma$ from $(\Delta_e, l|_{\Delta_e})$ to some (Δ', l'), we have $|h(\gamma)| + l'(h(\gamma)) = |\gamma| + l(\gamma) = |\gamma| + e$. We now write (19) as

$$a_m(\Delta, l) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta_e(m)} [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-m|\gamma|-e}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1})^n. \tag{20}$$

The sum on the right of (20) belongs to the completion $(!K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[n])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}])\widehat{\ }$ of the quotient ring $!K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[n])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}]$. Denoting

$$a_{m,e}(\Delta, l) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta_e(m)} [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-m|\gamma|}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1})^n,$$

one deduces that $a_m(\Delta, l) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{N}} a_{m,e}(\Delta_e, l)[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-e}$.

Let $(X, f, 1)$ be an object in $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RES}$ such that $f(X) \subset V_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times V_{\gamma_n}$ (thus $\mathrm{val}_{\mathrm{rv}}(f_i(x)) = \gamma_i$ for every $x \in X$). As in [18, Sec. 8.2], we define

$$b_m(X, f, 1) = [X][\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-m|\gamma|-n}$$

if $m\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $b_m(X, f, 1) = 0$ otherwise. As in [18, Sec. 8.2], $b_m \otimes a_{m,e}$ induces a morphism

$$h_{m,e} : K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}].$$

Also, $b_m \otimes a_m$ defines a morphism of rings

$$h_m : K_+(\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to (!K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}])\widehat{\ }$$

with the property that

$$h_m([(X, f, \alpha)]) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{N}} h_{m,e}([(\alpha^{-1}(e), f)])[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-e}.$$

Let $\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$ be the full subcategory of $\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$ consisting of objects (X, f, α) such that $\alpha : X \to \Gamma$ has finitely many values. Clearly, this subcategory contains $\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}$ since we can take $\alpha : X \to \Gamma$ to be the constant function 0. Restricting h_m to $K_+(\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$ yields a morphism of semi-rings, which is also denoted by h_m,

$$h_m : K_+(\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \to !K_+(\mathrm{volRES}[*])[[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-1}].$$

Let us use the same notation for the morphisms of rings corresponding to those of semi-rings. Hereon, we also concern the morphisms $\tilde{\Theta}$, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ and $\mathbb{HL} = \tilde{\Theta} \circ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \circ \int$ as in Section 4. For $m \geq 1$ and $e \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathbb{HL}_{m,e} := \tilde{\Theta} \circ h_{m,e} \circ \int \text{ and } \mathbb{HL}_m := \tilde{\Theta} \circ h_m \circ \int.$$

8.3. **A comparison result.** In the theory $\mathrm{ACVF}^R(0,0)$, one can identify GBSRig_K with a full subcategory of $\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$. The latter is the corresponding category to $\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{RV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$ defined above, i.e. its objects are $(X, f, \alpha) \in \mathrm{Ob}\mu_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$ such that $\alpha : X \to \Gamma$ has finitely many values. Also, one can identify BSRig_K with a full subcategory of $\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$. Indeed, for any rigid K-variety X endowed with a gauge form ω, putting $\alpha = \mathrm{val} \circ \omega$, we obtain an object (X, α) of $\mu^G_\Gamma\mathrm{VF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$.

Theorem 8.1. *Let X be a smooth K-rigid variety any of whose formal models is of pure relative dimension d, let ω be a gauge form on X. Then the following identities hold in the ring $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$:*

$$\int_{X(m)} |\omega(m)| = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d}\mathbb{HL}_m([X, \mathrm{val}\circ\omega]),$$

$$\mathbb{MV}([X]) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d}\mathbb{HL}([X]).$$

Proof. Put $\alpha = \mathrm{val}\circ\omega$ and view $\alpha^{-1}(e)$ as an object of the category $\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]$. By the definition of h_m, one has

$$\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha]) = \sum_{e\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{HL}_{m,e}([\alpha^{-1}(e)])[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-e}.$$

We deduce from [18, Lem. 3.1.1] that, for each $e\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\beta_e\in\Gamma$ such that $\alpha^{-1}(e)$ is β_e-invariant. By [18], for each $e\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{HL}_{m,e}([\alpha^{-1}(e)]) = [\widetilde{\alpha^{-1}(e)}[m]] = [\alpha^{-1}(e)[m,\beta']][\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-md\beta'} \tag{21}$$

for any $\beta'\geq\beta_e$ in Γ. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ denote the motivic measure which takes values in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ (see e.g. [9], compare with Proposition 7.3 in this article). Then the right hand side of (21) is nothing but $[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^d\tilde{\mu}(\alpha^{-1}(e)(m))$. Thus we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha]) &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^d\sum_{e\in\mathbb{N}}\tilde{\mu}(\alpha^{-1}(e)(m))[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-e}\\ &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^d\int_{X(m)}|\omega(m)|.\end{aligned}$$

The first equality of Theorem 8.1 implies the below identity in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa[[T]]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m\geq1}\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha])T^m &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^d\sum_{m\geq1}\Big(\int_{X(m)}|\omega(m)|\Big)T^m\\ &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^d S(X,\omega;T).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the rationality of the series $\sum_{m\geq1}\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha])T^m$ follows from that of the Poincaré power series $S(X,\omega;T)$, and we can take the limit $\lim_{T\to\infty}$. Since

$$-\mathbb{MV}([X]) = \lim_{T\to\infty} S(X,\omega;T)$$

is independent of the choice of the ω, so is $\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq1}\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha])T^m$, where $\alpha=\mathrm{val}\circ\omega$. Hence, we can choose a gauge form ω on X such that $\alpha=0$, and then by Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq1}\mathbb{HL}_m([X,\alpha])T^m = -\mathbb{HL}([X])$$

as desired. □

Assume that X is a rigid closed K-subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa$. We shall define the rigid K-variety $X^\star$ associated to X as follows. If X is defined by an ideal I then, be definition, $X^\star$ is given by the equations $\{y\in\mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa : \mathrm{rv}g(y)=\mathrm{rv}(t) \text{ for } g-t\in I\}$. For instance, gotten from [18, Cor. 4.2.2], let $\mathscr{Y}$ be a smooth κ-variety, $g:\mathscr{Y}\to\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa$ a regular function, and $y\in g^{-1}(0)$. Let $\pi:\mathscr{Y}(R)\to\mathscr{Y}(\mathbf{k})$ be the reduction map. Putting $\mathscr{Y}_{t,y}=\{y'\in\mathscr{Y}(R): g(y')=t, \pi(y')=y\}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_y=\{y'\in\mathscr{Y}(R):\mathrm{rv}g(y')=\mathrm{rv}(t),\pi(y')=y\}$, the identity $[\mathcal{Y}_y]=[(\mathscr{Y}_{t,y})^\star]$ holds in $K(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])$.

Corollary 8.2. *Assume that X is a smooth K-rigid variety any of whose formal models is of pure relative dimension d. Let ω be a gauge form on X. Then the equality $\mathtt{MV}([X]) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d}\mathtt{HL}([X^\star])$ holds in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$.*

Proof. It is clear that there exists a natural number $r \geq 0$ such that $[X^\star] = [X]\cdot[0]_1^r$, where $[0]_1$ is the class of the open disc $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{B}(0,0)$. This follows from the fact that, if $X = \{y \in \mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa : g_i(y) = t, i \in B\}$, then $X^\star = \{y \in \mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa : g_i(y) \in t\cdot[0]_1, i \in B\}$ (with B finite). Since $\mathtt{HL}([0]_1) = 1$, we have $\mathtt{HL}([X^\star]) = \mathtt{HL}([X]\cdot[0]_1^r) = \mathtt{HL}([X])$. Applying Theorem 8.1, the corollary is proved. □

9. Proof of the formal version (Theorem 1.3)

Note that, for $m \geq 1$, the $K(m)$ in Notation 7.7 is the field $\kappa((t^{1/m}))$, and the normalization $R(m)$ of R in $\kappa((t^{1/m}))$ is the ring $\kappa[[t^{1/m}]]$.

9.1. **Some remarks.** It is worthy to notice that since we have Theorem 8.1 and Corollary 8.2, which expresses the link between the motivic volume morphism $\mathtt{MV}$ and the morphism $\mathtt{HL}$ constructed by Hrushovski-Loeser in [18], we are obviously able to deduce Theorem 1.3 from the proof of Theorem 1.2 given in Section 5. All the arguments from Section 5, when applied here, run well absolutely. However, we shall give some alternative computations on the morphism $\mathtt{MV}$ in Subsections 9.2 and 9.3, because these arguments are true for all the valued fields of equal characteristic zero other than only for the algebraically closed fields. This means that, if we could improve to arrive at some computation of $\mathtt{MV}([X_1])$ in Subsection 9.4 without the condition that κ is algebraically closed, Conjecture 1.1 would be answered completely in the full version.

9.2. **An expression for $\int_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa} i^*\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}}$.** Recall from Section 1 that $f(x,y,z)$ is a formal power series in $\kappa[[x,y,z]]$, where (x,y,z) is a system of coordinates of the vector space $\kappa^{d_1}\times\kappa^{d_2}\times\kappa^{d_3}$, satisfying the condition that

$$f(0,0,0) = 0, \text{ and } f(\tau x, \tau^{-1}y, z) = f(x,y,z) \text{ for } \tau \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}. \tag{22}$$

In general, any formal power series in $\kappa[[x,y,z]]$ is convergent in the non-archimedean unit open K-ball $(\mathrm{val}(x) > 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0)$. By the condition (22), however, we remark that $f(x,y,z)$ is possibly regarded as a series in $\kappa\{x\}[[y,z]]$ as well as a series in $\kappa\{y\}[[x,z]]$. For our purpose, let us consider the affine special formal R-scheme

$$\mathfrak{V} = \mathrm{Spf}(R\{x\}[[y,z]]/(f(x,y,z)-t)) \to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$$

defined by $t = f(x,y,z)$. Note from the above remark that $\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa$ is contained in $\mathfrak{V}_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ as a closed subscheme over κ. Then the notation $\mathfrak{X}$ in Section 1 is nothing else than the formal completion of $\mathfrak{V}$ along $\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa$, and it is a generically smooth special formal scheme. In the same way, the formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{Z}$ in Section 1 is just the formal completion of the special formal R-scheme $\mathfrak{W} = \mathrm{Spf}(R[[z]]/(f(0,0,z)-t)$ along 0, which is also generically smooth and special. Consider the formal functions $\hat{f} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ and $\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z}} : \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathrm{Spf}(R)$ as mentioned in Section 1.

We shall consider the specialization morphisms of ringed sites $\mathrm{sp} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathrm{sp}' : \mathfrak{Z}_\eta \to \mathfrak{Z}$. In fact, data on $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa)$ (resp. on $\mathrm{sp}'^{-1}(0)$) provide almost important information on $\int_{\mathbb{A}^{d_1}_\kappa} i^*\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z}},0}$). So, in the first step, we express

$\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1})$ and $\mathrm{sp}'^{-1}(0)$ explicitly. Those smooth rigid K-varieties are

$$\begin{aligned} X :&= \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}) \\ &= \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d,\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, f(x,y,z) = t\} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$Z_0 := \mathrm{sp}'^{-1}(0) = \{z \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_3,\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{val}(z) > 0, f(0,0,z) = t\}. \tag{24}$$

Consider a resolution of singularities $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}_i$, $i \in J$, be the irreducible components of divisor $\mathfrak{Y}_s = \mathfrak{h}_s^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_s)$, E_I° and the natural unramified Galois covering $\tilde{E}_I^\circ \to E_I^\circ$, $\emptyset \neq I \subset J$, defined as in Section 6.4. By Corollaries 7.11, 7.12 and Proposition 7.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{MV}([X]) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^* S(\mathfrak{X}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*[\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^1]^{-d+1} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset J} (1 - [\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^1])^{|I|-1} [\tilde{E}_I^\circ] \\ &= [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{-d+1} \int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^* \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now write X as a disjoint union of $X_0 = \{(x,y,z) \in X : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty\}$ and $X_1 = \{(x,y,z) \in X : \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) < \infty\}$. In the next subsections we shall compute $\mathtt{MV}([X_0])$ and $\mathtt{MV}([X_1])$.

9.3. Computation of $\mathtt{MV}([X_0])$.

We factorize $X_0 = Y_0 \times Z_0$ with Z_0 as in (24) and

$$Y_0 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1+d_2,\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y) > 0, \mathrm{val}(x) + \mathrm{val}(y) = \infty\}.$$

The latter can be written as a disjoint union of the rigid K-varieties

$$Y_{0,1} = \{x \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1,\mathrm{an}} : 0 \leq \mathrm{val}(x) < \infty\}$$

and

$$Y_{0,2} = \{y \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_2,\mathrm{an}} : \mathrm{val}(y) > 0\}.$$

Remark 9.1. One can see that, in spite of the same style, the definition of $Y_{0,1}$ (resp. $Y_{0,2}$) is slightly different from that of Y_0^1 (resp. Y_0^2) in Section 5.

9.3.1. *Computation of* $\mathtt{MV}([Y_{0,1} \times Z_0])$. By Proposition 7.14, we have

$$\mathtt{MV}([Y_{0,1} \times Z_0]) = -\lim_{T \to \infty} \sum_{m \geq 1} \Phi\big([Y_{0,1}(m) \times Z_0(m), dx \times \omega(m)]\big) T^m, \tag{26}$$

with ω some $\mathfrak{Z}_{/0}$-bounded gauge form on $Z_0 = (\mathfrak{Z}_{/0})_\eta$ and $dx = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{d_1}$. It follows from Proposition 7.6 that

$$\Phi\big([Y_{0,1}(m) \times Z_0(m), dx \times \omega(m)]\big) = \Phi\big([Y_{0,1}(m), dx(m)] \cdot \Phi\big([Z_0(m), \omega(m)]\big).$$

Note that $Y_{0,1}(m) = \{x \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1,\mathrm{an}}(m) : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi\big([\{x \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1,\mathrm{an}}(m) : \mathrm{val}(x) \geq 0\}, dx(m)]\big) = 1, \\ &\Phi\big([\{0\}, 1]\big) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\Phi\big([Y_{0,1}(m), dx(m)] = 0$. It thus follows from (26) that

$$\mathtt{MV}([Y_{0,1} \times Z_0]) = 0. \tag{27}$$

9.3.2. *Computation of* $\texttt{MV}([Y_{0,2}\times Z_0])$. By Proposition 7.14,

$$\texttt{MV}([Y_{0,2}\times Z_0]) = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum_{m\geq 1}\Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m)\times Z_0(m), dx\times\omega(m)]\big)T^m,$$

where ω is some $\mathfrak{Z}_{/0}$-bounded gauge form on Z_0 and $dx = dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_{d_2}$. Since Φ is a ring homomorphism due to Proposition 7.6,

$$\Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m)\times Z_0(m), dx\times\omega(m)]\big) = \Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m), dx(m)]\cdot\Phi\big([Z_0(m),\omega(m)]\big).$$

A simple computation gives $\Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m), dx(m)]\big) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2}$. On the other hand, if we let $\mathfrak{h}':\mathfrak{Y}'\to\mathfrak{Z}$ be a resolution of singularities of $\mathfrak{Z}$, and $\mathfrak{E}'_i$, $i\in J'$, the irreducible components of divisor $\mathfrak{Y}'_s = \mathfrak{h}'^{-1}_s(\mathfrak{Z}_s)$, $\mathfrak{Y}'_s = \sum_{i\in J'}N'_i\mathfrak{E}'_i$, and $\tilde{E}'^{\circ}_I$, $I\subset J'$, defined as in Section 6.4, then by Corollary 7.10, $\Phi\big([Z_0(m),\omega(m)]\big)$ is equal to

$$[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_3+1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J'}([\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]-1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}'^{\circ}_I\cap\mathfrak{h}'^{-1}_0(0)]\left(\sum_{\substack{k_i\geq 1, i\in I\\ \sum_{i\in I}k_iN'_i=m}}[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\sum_{i\in I}k_i\mu'_i}\right),$$

where $\mu'_i = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{E}'_i}(\omega)$. One deduces that $\Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m)\times Z_0(m), dx\times\omega(m)]\big)T^m$ is equal to $[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1}$ times

$$\Big(\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J'}([\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]-1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}'^{\circ}_I\cap\mathfrak{h}'^{-1}_0(0)]\left(\sum_{\substack{k_i\geq 1, i\in I\\ \sum_{i\in I}k_iN'_i=m}}[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\sum_{i\in I}k_i\mu'_i}\right)\Big)T^m,$$

hence $\sum_{m\geq 1}\Phi\big([Y_{0,2}(m)\times Z_0(m), dx\times\omega(m)]\big)T^m$ is equal to

$$[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J'}([\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]-1)^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}'^{\circ}_I\cap\mathfrak{h}'^{-1}_0(0)]\prod_{i\in I}\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\mu'_i}T^{N'_i}}{1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-\mu'_i}T^{N'_i}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\texttt{MV}([Y_{0,2}\times Z_0]) &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subset J'}(1-[\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa])^{|I|-1}[\tilde{E}'^{\circ}_I\cap\mathfrak{h}'^{-1}_0(0)]\\ &= [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1}\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z},0}}.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Finally, it follows from (27) and (28) that

$$\texttt{MV}([X_0]) = [\mathbb{A}^1_\kappa]^{-d_2-d_3+1}\mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z},0}}.\tag{29}$$

9.4. **Computation of $\texttt{MV}([X_1])$ and conclusion.** In this subsection, κ will be assumed to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Recall that X_1 is written as

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 = \{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa : &\,\mathrm{val}(x)\geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y)>0, \mathrm{val}(z)>0,\\ &\mathrm{val}(x)+\mathrm{val}(y)<\infty, f(x,y,z)=t\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $X_1^\star$ is the following definable bounded set

$$\begin{aligned}X_1^\star = \{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{A}^{d,\mathrm{an}}_\kappa : &\,\mathrm{val}(x)\geq 0, \mathrm{val}(y)>0, \mathrm{val}(z)>0,\\ &\mathrm{val}(x)+\mathrm{val}(y)<\infty, \mathrm{rv}f(x,y,z)=\mathrm{rv}(t)\}.\end{aligned}$$

We can use the arguments in Subsection 5.4 to show that $\mathtt{HL}([X_1^\star]) = 0$, which implies $\mathtt{MV}([X_1]) = 0$ by Corollary 8.2.

We now deduce from (25), (29) and from $\mathtt{MV}([X_1]) = 0$ that the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1}} i^*_{\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_1},\mathfrak{X}_s} \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}} = [\mathbb{A}_\kappa^1]^{d_1} \mathcal{S}_{\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z},0}} \tag{30}$$

holds in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$. In order to see that the identity (30) is also true in $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^{\hat{\mu}}$, let us go back to the definition of the motivic Milnor fiber for formal case. Consider the above resolution of singularities $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ with notation $\mathfrak{E}_i$ $(i \in J)$, E_I° $(I \subset J)$ defined as in Section 6.4, and $\mathfrak{h}_s^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_s) = \sum_{i\in J} N_i \mathfrak{E}_i$. The natural unramified Galois covering $\tilde{E}_I^\circ \to E_I^\circ$ is constructed by glueing the following pieces

$$U \cap \tilde{E}_I^\circ = \{(z,y) \in \mathbb{A}_\kappa^1 \times (U \cap E_I^\circ) | z^{m_I} = \tilde{u}(y)^{-1}\}$$

for $\{U\}$ running over affine Zariski open subsets of $\mathfrak{Y}_0$ with $U \cap E_I^\circ \neq \emptyset$, $m_I = \gcd(N_i)_{i\in I}$. Note that the numbers N_i naturally appear in the expression $\hat{f}_0 \circ \mathfrak{h}_0(y) = \tilde{u}(y) \prod_{i\in I} y_i^{N_i}$, $y \in U$, and they only depend on $\hat{f}_0$, not on $\mathfrak{h}$. The group μ_{m_I} acts on this piece by multiplying the z-coordinate with elements of μ_{m_I}. Now consider the $\mathfrak{h}' : \mathfrak{Y}' \to \mathfrak{Z}$ in Subsection 9.3.2. Observe that $(\hat{f}_{\mathfrak{Z}})_0$ is the restriction of $\hat{f}_0$ to $\mathbb{A}_\kappa^{d_3}$, thus over the common part $\mathfrak{Z}_0$, the resolutions $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ provide the same N_i's. This guarantees that the actions of $\hat{\mu}$ (induced from μ_{m_I}) on the Galois coverings $\tilde{E}_I^\circ \to E_I^\circ$ $(I \subset J)$ and $\tilde{E}_I'^\circ \to E_I'^\circ$ $(I \subset J')$ are of the same type and they are compatible with pull-back and pushforward operators.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am fortunate when working under François Loeser on an interesting subject for my Ph.D. thesis. Not only his work joint with Ehud Hrushovski on monodromy and the Lefschetz fixed point formula is the greatest inspiration, but his generous suggestions and comments also support almost technical computations in my work. I am also grateful to him for his warmth, enthusiasm and optimistism, which give me a great peacefulness.

I thank Johannes Nicaise for valuable discussions. His suggestion of considering bounded smooth rigid varieties instead of quasi-compact smooth ones as in the first version is really important.

During the preparation of the present article, the research of the author has been supported by the European Research Council under the European Communitys Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement n° 246903/NMNAG.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Batyrev, *Birational Calabi-Yau n-folds have equal Betti numbers*, New Trends in Algebraic geometry, Euroconference on Algebraic Geometry (Warwick 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note ser. 264, K. Hulek et al Ed., CUP (1999), 1-11.

[2] ———, *Stringy Hodge numbers of varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities*, Proc. Taniguchi Symposium 1997, In 'Intehrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry, Kobe/Kyoto 1997', World Sci. Publ. (1999), 1-32.

[3] V. Berkovich, *Vanishing cycles for formal schemes II*, Invent. Math. **125**(2) (1996), 367-390.

[4] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres*, Prépublication, Inst. Math. de Rennes 1996.

[5] F. Bittner, *On motivic zeta functions and the motivic nearby fiber*, Math. Z. **249** (2005), 63-83.

[6] B. Conrad, *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 2, 473541.

[7] A. J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonn module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **82** (1996), 596.

[8] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), 505-537.

[9] ———, *Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 201-232.

[10] ———, *Motivic exponential integrals and a motivic Thom-Sebastiani theorem*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 2, 285-309.

[11] ———, *"Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties"* in European congress of Mathematics, Vol. 1 (Barcelona, 2000), Progr. Math. **201**, Birkhaüser, Basel, 2001, 327-348.

[12] ———, *Lefschetz numbers of iterates of the monodromy and truncated arcs*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 5, 10311040.

[13] M. J. Greenberg, *Schemata over local rings*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 624-648.

[14] G. Guibert, F. Loeser, M. Merle, *Iterated vanishing cycles, convolution, and a motivic analogue of the conjecture of Steenbrink*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), no. 3, 409-457.

[15] ———, *Composition with a two variable function*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** No. 3 (2009), 439-448.

[16] E. Hrushovski, D. Kazhdan, *Integration in valued fields*, in Algebraic and Number Theory, Progress in Mathematics **253**, 261-405 (2006), Birkhäuser.

[17] ———, *The value ring of geometric motivic integration, and the Iwahori Heck algebra of SL_2. With an appendix by Nir Avni*, Geom. Funct. Anal, **17** (2008), 1924-1967.

[18] E. Hrushovski, F. Loeser, *Monodromy and the Lefschetz fixed point formula*, preprint, arXiv:1111.1954.

[19] Y. Jiang, *Motivic Milnor fiber of cyclic L_∞-algebras*, arXiv:0909.2858v2.

[20] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donalson-Thomas invariants and cluster tranformations*, arXiv: 0811.2435vl.

[21] ———, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donalson-Thomas invariants*, arXiv: 1006.2706v2.

[22] ———, *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants: summary of results*, pp. 55-89 in "Mirror symmetry and tropical geometry" (Manhattan, KS, 2008), edited by R. Castaño-Bernard et al., Contemp. Math. **527**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010 (arXiv: 0910.4315v2).

[23] Lê Quy Thuong, *On a conjecture of Kontsevich and Soibelman*, Algebra and Number Theory **6** (2012), no. 2, 389-404.

[24] ———, *A proof of the ℓ-adic version of the integral identity conjecture for polynomials*, preprint, arXiv:1204.1758.

[25] L. Lipshitz, *Rigid Subanalytic Sets*, American Journal of Mathematics **115** (1993), no. 1, 77-108.

[26] F. Loeser, J. Sebag, *Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 2, 315-344.

[27] E. Looijenga, *Motivic measures*, Astérisque **276** (2002), 267-297, Séminaire Bourbaki 1999/2000, no. 874.

[28] J. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurface*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **61** Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.

[29] J. Nicaise, J. Sebag, *Motivic Serre invariants, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 1, 133173.

[30] —–, *Motivic Serre invariants and Weil restriction*, J. Algebra. **319** (2008), 1585-1610.

[31] J. Nicaise, *Formal and rigid geometry: an intuitive introduction and some applications*, Enseign. Math. **54**(2) (2008), no. 3-4, 213249.

[32] ———, *A trace formula for rigid varieties, and motivic Weil generating series for formal schemes*, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 285349.

[33] J. Sebag, *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), no. 1, 1-54, Séminaire Bourbaki 1999/2000, no. 874.

[34] M. Temkin, *Desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 2, 488-522.

[35] B. Toën, *Derived Hall algebras*, Duke Math. J. **135** (3) (2006), 587–615.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, UMR 7586 CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France (current)
E-mail address: `leqthuong@math.jussieu.fr`

Department of Mathematics, Vietnam National University, 334 Nguyen Trai Street, Hanoi, Vietnam
E-mail address: `thuonglq@vnu.edu.vn`